

Spinning Particles on Spacelike Hypersurfaces and their Rest-Frame Description.

Francesco Bigazzi

Dipartimento di Fisica

Universita' di Milano

Via G.Celoria 16

20133 Milano, Italy

and

Luca Lusanna

Sezione INFN di Firenze

L.go E.Fermi 2 (Arcetri)

50125 Firenze, Italy

E-mail LUSANNA@FI.INFN.IT

Abstract

A new spinning particle with a definite sign of the energy is defined on spacelike hypersurfaces after a critical discussion of the standard spinning particles. They are the pseudoclassical basis of the positive energy $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ [or negative energy $(0, \frac{1}{2})$] parts of the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ solutions of the Dirac equation. The study of the isolated system of N such spinning charged particles plus the electromagnetic field leads to their description in the rest-frame Wigner-covariant instant form of dynamics on the Wigner hyperplanes orthogonal to the total 4-momentum of the isolated system (when it is timelike). We find

that on such hyperplanes these spinning particles have a nonminimal coupling only of the type “spin-magnetic field” like the nonrelativistic Pauli particles to which they tend in the nonrelativistic limit. The Lienard-Wiechert potentials associated with these charged spinning particles are found. Then, a comment on how to quantize the spinning particles respecting their fibered structure describing the spin structure is done.

July 1998

I. INTRODUCTION

A series of papers [1–5] utilizing the Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation [6] was needed to arrive at the classical noncovariant generalized Coulomb gauge for the standard $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ model with Grassmann-valued fermion fields [see Refs. [7] for reviews]. In this gauge there is no gauge freedom left and the physical fields are the Dirac observables of the model. In order to covariantize the result, the isolated system of N scalar massive particles with Grassmann-valued electric charges plus the electromagnetic field [8,9] was reformulated on spacelike hypersurfaces [10,11], the leaves of a foliation of Minkowski spacetime defining an arbitrary 3+1 splitting of it. The degrees of freedom of the spacelike hypersurfaces $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ [τ labels the leaves Σ_τ of the foliation, while $\vec{\sigma}$ are curvilinear coordinates on Σ_τ] are extra configurational variables, but there are 4 first class constraints in each point ensuring that the description is independent from the foliation. Therefore, one can restrict oneself to spacelike hyperplanes, so that these extra degrees of freedom are restricted to only 10: i) an origin $x_s^\mu(\tau)$; ii) an orthonormal tetrad on this point, adapted to Σ_τ containing the normal to Σ_τ . Only 10 global first class constraints are left implying the independence of the description from the choice of the hyperplanes. For the dense subset of system configurations having a total timelike 4-momentum (the boundary conditions on the fields must be such that the 10 conserved Poincaré generators are finite) one can make the further restriction to those special hyperplanes (denoted Wigner hyperplanes), which are orthogonal to the total 4-momentum of the isolated system. This is done by requiring that the orthonormal tetrad coincides with the standard Wigner boost associated with the timelike total 4-momentum, after having boosted at rest all the variables with it. The extra degrees of freedom are reduced to only 4 and only 4 global first class constraints are left. The 4 degrees of freedom are a noncovariant canonical variable $\tilde{x}_s^\mu(\tau)$ [with conjugate momentum p_s^μ], which behaves as a classical analogue of the Newton-Wigner position operator with the covariance of the little group of timelike Poincaré orbits. All the variables describing the isolated system are forced to become Wigner covariant [12,13]. This con-

struction defines a new kind of instant form of the dynamics [14], the “rest-frame 1-time Wigner-covariant instant form”, which is the special relativistic generalization of the Newtonian separation of the center of mass [$H = \frac{\vec{P}^2}{2M} + H_{rel}$]. One of the 4 left constraints [$\epsilon_s = \pm\sqrt{p_s^2} \approx \pm M_{system}$] says that the analogue of the relative nonrelativistic Hamiltonian H_{rel} is the invariant mass M_{system} of the isolated system, so that the natural evolution parameter is obtained by identifying the label τ of the leaves Σ_τ of the foliation with the rest-frame center-of-mass time $T_s = p_s \cdot \tilde{x}_s / \epsilon_s = p_s \cdot x_s / \epsilon_s$. The other three constraints say that the total Wigner spin 1 3-momentum $\vec{\kappa}_{+system}$ of the isolated system inside the Wigner hyperplane vanishes, so that the Wigner hyperplane is the intrinsic rest-frame of the isolated system. Since the position of the origin of the hyperplane is arbitrary [like the unit vector p_s^μ / ϵ_s , describing the orientation of the Wigner hyperplane with respect to an arbitrary Lorentz frame in Minkowski spacetime], one imposes as gauge-fixings to these 3 constraints the condition that the center of mass of the isolated system coincides with the origin: $X_{system}^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_{+system}(\tau)) \approx x_s^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma} = 0)$ or $\vec{\eta}_{+system}(\tau) \approx 0$. However, till now X_{system}^μ is known only for systems of particles; there are preliminary results on its identification for Klein-Gordon fields [15].

If one makes the canonical reduction of the gauge degrees of freedom of the isolated system in the rest-frame instant form on the Wigner hyperplane, one gets the rest-frame Wigner-covariant generalized Coulomb gauge in which the universal breaking of covariance is restricted to the decoupled center-of-mass variable. However, as shown in Refs. [2,8,16], the region of spacetime, over which this noncovariance is spread, is finite in spacelike directions and identifies a classical intrinsic unit of length, the Møller radius $\rho = \sqrt{-W^2}/P^2 = |\hat{S}|/\sqrt{P^2}$, where $P^2 > 0$ and $W^2 = -P^2 \hat{S}^2$ are the Poincaré Casimirs and $\hat{S}$ the Thomas rest-frame spin of the isolated system respectively. This unit of length gives rise to a physical intrinsic ultraviolet cutoff at the quantum level in the spirit of Dirac and Yukawa.

After the canonical reduction of the isolated system of N charged particles plus the electromagnetic field (for this system also the Lienard-Wiechert potential in the Coulomb gauge has been evaluated [9]) one gets the emergence of the Coulomb potential from field

theory and a regularization of the Coulomb self-energy due to the Grassmann character of the electric charge of the particles. Then, the isolated system of N scalar massive particles with Grassmann-valued color charges plus the $SU(3)$ color Yang-Mills field has been studied till to find the rest-frame Wigner-covariant Coulomb gauge [16], obtaining a pseudoclassical description of the relativistic quark model. The physical invariant mass of the system is given in terms of the Dirac observables. From the reduced Hamilton equations, the second order equations of motion both for the reduced transverse color field and the particles are extracted. Then, one studies the $N=2$ (meson) case. A special form of the requirement of having only color singlets, suited for a field-independent quark model, produces a “pseudoclassical asymptotic freedom” and a regularization of the quark self-energy. With these results one can covariantize the bosonic part of the standard model given in Ref. [5].

The limitation of the description of relativistic particles on spacelike hypersurfaces is that they must have a well defined sign of the energy. This is due to the fact that the intersection of a timelike worldline with a spacelike hypersurface is defined by 3 numbers $\vec{\sigma} = \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$ and not 4: $x_i^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$, $i=1, \dots, N$. This means that the mass shell constraints $p_i^2 - m_i^2 \approx 0$ (in the free case) have been solved, $p_i^0 \approx \pm \sqrt{\vec{p}_i^2 + m_i^2}$, and that a choice of the sign $\eta_i = \pm$ of the energy [namely on which of the two topologically disjointed branches of the mass-hyperboloid is the particle] has been done. These models describe only one of the 2^N branches of the mass spectrum of the N particle system (there is a different model for each branch), before that the interactions become so strong to cause branch-crossing (zero energy gap; pair production at the quantum level). Therefore, these models allow a genuine consistent formulation of “relativistic particle mechanics” with a definite sign of the energy of the particles, with relativistic kinetic energies $\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2}$ and already oriented to the canonical formulation of general relativity. Since the mass spectrum has only one branch, there is no problem with pair production. In a sense this is a consistent classical realization of the quenched approximation in lattice gauge theory: due to the Grassmann character of the charges one does not only ignore the fermion loops but also all the effects of the same order in the charges. The only problem, present with scalar interactions modifying the

mass ($\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2} \mapsto \sqrt{m_i^2 + V + \vec{\kappa}_i^2}$), is that the modified kinetic energy must remain real. All the consistent couplings to the electromagnetic field on spacelike hypersurfaces are in accord with quenched approximation (no pair production). See Ref. [9] for the connection of this description of N scalar particle systems with the Feshbach-Villars diagonalization of the Klein-Gordon equation [17], which confirms what has been said about the couplings to the electromagnetic field.

What is still lacking is the quantization of this approach to get a consistent “quantum relativistic mechanics”, due to the complications of the square root in the kinetic term. However, the starting point, i.e. the quantization of the free particle, has been realized in Ref. [18] by using pseudodifferential operators in a scheme consistent with the reformulation on spacelike hypersurfaces [see Refs. [19] for what is known with a Coulomb potential added to the kinetic term]: the resulting nonlocal quantum theory may also be second quantized with microcausality replaced by macrocausality [the commutator of two field operators at spacelike separation decreases exponentially to zero when the spacelike separation exceeds the Compton wavelength in a qualitative accord with the problematic of the Möller radius].

To get the description of the standard model on spacelike hypersurfaces one still needs the formulation of fermions on them. This is also needed for treating the fermions in general relativity: given their coupling to tetrad gravity [see for instance Refs. [20,21]; the canonical reduction of tetrad gravity is under investigation [22]] one needs this formulation to arrive at the ADM canonical formalism based on 3+1 splittings of the globally hyperbolic asymptotically flat spacetime [see Refs. [23] for what is known on the subject].

Before studying Dirac fermion fields, in this paper we shall give the description of the positive energy spinning particle on spacelike hypersurfaces, with the spin described by Grassmann variables. It corresponds to study the pseudoclassical basis of either the positive or negative solutions of the Dirac equation in first quantization. The nonrelativistic limit corresponds to a Pauli spinning particle [24,25].

In Section II we review the standard spinning particles with the spin described by Grass-

mann variables, giving some properties of their pseudoclassical description and a discussion of how to separate the two branches of their mass spectrum.

In Section III we study N spinning particles with Grassmann-valued electric charges plus the electromagnetic field on spacelike hypersurfaces and we find the form of the lacking odd second class constraints needed to get that their spin structure is described by Pauli matrices after quantization.

In Section IV the previous system is restricted firstly to spacelike hyperplanes and secondly to the Wigner ones, obtaining the rest-frame description in which the spinning particles have nonminimal couplings only of the type “spin - magnetic field” like the nonrelativistic Pauli particles. The nonrelativistic limit is shown explicitly.

In Section V the Dirac observables with respect to electromagnetic gauge transformations and their equations of motion are obtained.

In Section VI the Lienard-Wiechert potentials produced by these charged spinning particles in the rest-frame description are evaluated.

In the Conclusions we introduce the problem of how to quantize spinning particles preserving their fibered spin structure, namely a spin structure (described by Grassmann variables) over a scalar particle tracing a worldline in Minkowski spacetime [in analogy to the pseudoclassical photon [26]]. It seems that there is an incompleteness in our quantum description of fermions (either first quantized Dirac wave functions or second quantized Dirac fields) regarding their ability to generate not only a spin structure but also trajectories in Minkowski spacetime like the ones of charged leptons in particle detectors (or more in general the spacetime trajectories of electric currents). This problem will be treated elsewhere.

In the Appendix there is a review of the Foldy-Wouthuysen, Cini-Touschek and chiral representations of Dirac matrices from the pseudoclassical point of view.

II. OLD MASSIVE SPINNING PARTICLES.

The use of Grassmann variables for the spin of the spinning particles started with Berezin and Marinov [27]. In the case of spin 1/2 one speaks of pseudoclassical description of the electron. Then, the spinning particle was described in various forms [28–30] all utilizing Grassmann variables. We shall not consider either other descriptions of spin 1/2 with tools different from Grassmann variables or models for spinning particles using bosonic variables like rotators (only integer spins, usually towers of spins, are obtained at the quantum level).

In Ref. [28], a Lagrangian was given depending on a bosonic position $x^\mu(\tau)$ and on 5 real Grassmann variables $\xi^\mu(\tau)$ and $\xi_5(\tau)$:

$$L = -\frac{i}{2}\xi_5\dot{\xi}_5 - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu\dot{\xi}^\mu - m\sqrt{\left(\dot{x}_\mu - \frac{i}{m}\xi_\mu\dot{\xi}_5\right)^2}, \quad S = \int d\tau L, \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{x}^\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}x^\mu$; the canonical momenta are $p_\mu = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{x}^\mu} = m\frac{\dot{x}_\mu - \frac{i}{m}\xi_\mu\dot{\xi}_5}{\sqrt{\left(\dot{x}_\mu - \frac{i}{m}\xi_\mu\dot{\xi}_5\right)^2}}$, $\pi_\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\xi^\mu} = \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu$, $\pi_5 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\xi_5} = \frac{i}{2}\xi_5 - \frac{i}{m}p_\mu\xi^\mu$. There is a local supersymmetry invariance of the action (the local transformations are $\xi_\mu \longrightarrow \xi_\mu + \epsilon_5(\tau)p_\mu/m$, $\xi_5 \longrightarrow \xi_5 + \epsilon_5(\tau)$, $x_\mu \longrightarrow x_\mu + \frac{i}{m^2}\epsilon_5(\tau)p_\mu\xi_5 - \frac{i}{m}\epsilon_5(\tau)[\xi_\mu - \frac{1}{m}p_\mu\xi_5]$) but no manifest world-line supersymmetry. The geometrical interpretation is that one has a fibered structure: over each point of the timelike worldline of a scalar point particle in Minkowski spacetime there is a Grassmann algebra G_5 with generators ξ^μ , ξ_5 , as a standard fiber describing the spin structure.

In phase space, after the elimination of the second class constraints (the Lagrangian is of first order in Grassmann velocities) $\chi_\mu = \pi_\mu - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu \approx 0$ and $\chi_5 = \pi_5 + \frac{i}{2}\xi_5 \approx 0$ (this last constraint does not appear explicitly but it is a constant of the motion which has to be put equal zero by hand as required by the number of zero eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix and to get the same set of solutions from the Euler-Lagrange and Hamilton equations), one arrives at the Dirac brackets $\{x^\mu, p^\nu\}^* = -\eta^{\mu\nu}$, $\{\xi^\mu, \xi^\nu\}^* = i\eta^{\mu\nu}$, $\{\xi_5, \xi_5\}^* = -i$, from the original Poisson brackets $\{x^\mu, p^\nu\} = \{\xi^\mu, \pi^\nu\} = -\eta^{\mu\nu}$, $\{\pi_5, \xi_5\} = -1$ [the odd-odd Poisson brackets are symmetric]. After quantization [31], these Dirac brackets become $[\xi_\mu, \xi_\nu]_+ = -\hbar\eta_{\mu\nu}$, $[\xi_\mu, \xi_5]_+ = 0$, $[\xi_5, \xi_5]_+ = \hbar$, so that the Grassmann variables give rise to the Dirac matrices

$\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2}}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu$ and $\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2}}\gamma_5$ respectively.

There are two first class constraints: i) $\chi = p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$ (from repametrization invariance); ii) $\chi_D = p_\mu \xi^\mu - m\xi_5 \approx 0$ (from the local supersymmetry invariance); with the only nonvanishing Poisson bracket $\{\chi_D, \chi_D\}^* = i\chi$ (in this sense χ_D is the square root of χ). The Dirac Hamiltonian is $H_D = \lambda(\tau)\chi + i\lambda_D(\tau)\chi_D$, with $\lambda_D(\tau)$ an odd real Dirac multiplier and one has $\dot{\xi}^\mu \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\xi^\mu, H_D\}^* = \lambda_D(\tau)p^\mu$, $\dot{\xi}_5 \stackrel{\circ}{=} \lambda_D(\tau)m$ showing that both ξ^μ and ξ_5 are gauge dependent ($\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ means evaluated on the solutions). The conserved Poincaré generators are p_μ , $J_{\mu\nu} = L_{\mu\nu} + S_{\mu\nu}$, $L_{\mu\nu} = -p_\mu x_\nu + p_\nu x_\mu$, $S_{\mu\nu} = -\pi_\mu \xi_\nu + \pi_\nu \xi_\mu \stackrel{\chi_\mu \equiv \chi_5 \equiv 0}{=} -i\xi_\mu \xi_\nu$ [the components of the Lorentz generators satisfy $\{L^{\mu\nu}, L^{\alpha\beta}\}^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} L^{\gamma\delta}$, $\{S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\alpha\beta}\}^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S^{\gamma\delta}$, where $C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ are the structure constants of the Lorentz algebra] with the spin 3-vector $S_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}S_{jk} \Rightarrow \vec{S} = -\frac{i}{2}\vec{\xi} \wedge \vec{\xi}$. Since $\dot{S}^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\circ}{=} i\lambda_D(\tau)(\xi^\mu p^\nu - \xi^\nu p^\mu)$, the spin tensor is gauge dependent, as it should because its boost part cannot be independent from the spin part for a point particle with a definite spin.

Concerning the position x^μ , its equations of motion are $\dot{x}^\mu \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{x^\mu, H_D\}^* = -2\lambda(\tau)p^\mu - i\lambda_D(\tau)\xi^\mu$. This shows that superimposed to the free motion with velocity proportional to the 4-momentum there is a zitterbewegung proportional to ξ^μ . The pseudoclassical Foldy-Wouthuysen mean position [32] (see also Ref. [33]) is $x_M^o = x^o$, $x_M^i = x^i - \frac{p^i p_k + \delta_k^i \sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}{(\bar{p}^2 + m^2)(\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} + m)} i\xi^k \xi_5 - \frac{i\xi^i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}}{\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}$, because $\dot{x}_M^i \stackrel{\circ}{=} -[2\lambda(\tau) + i\lambda_D(\tau)\frac{m\xi_5 + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}}{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}]p^i$, $\dot{x}_M^o \stackrel{\circ}{=} -2\lambda(\tau)p^o - i\lambda_D(\tau)\xi^o$; if we choose $\lambda_D(\tau) = \alpha(\tau)\xi^o$, so that $\xi^o(p_\mu \xi^\mu - m\xi_5) \mapsto \hat{H} = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\alpha} + m\beta$ [see Refs. [28,34]], we have $\dot{x}_M^o \stackrel{\circ}{=} -2\lambda(\tau)p^o$. The spin tensor has the following two decompositions $J^{\mu\nu} = L^{\mu\nu} + S^{\mu\nu} = L_M^{\mu\nu} + S_M^{\mu\nu}$ with $L_M^{\mu\nu} = x_M^\mu p^\nu - x_M^\nu p^\mu$ and $S_M^{oi} = S^{oi} - p^o[\frac{p^i p_k + \delta_k^i \sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}{(\bar{p}^2 + m^2)(\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} + m)} i\xi^k \xi_5 + \frac{i\xi^i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}}{\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}]$, $S_M^{ij} = S^{ij} + \frac{\delta_k^i p^j - \delta_k^j p^i}{\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}} i\xi^k \xi_5 + \frac{i(\xi^i p^j - \xi^j p^i) \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}}{\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}$ (it is the Foldy-Wouthuysen mean spin tensor). While $L_M^{\mu\nu}$ and $S_M^{\mu\nu}$ are both constants of the motion [for L_M^{oi} and S_M^{oi} the choice $\lambda_D(\tau) = \alpha(\tau)\xi^o$ is needed], neither $L^{\mu\nu}$ nor $S^{\mu\nu}$ are constants due to zitterbewegung. See chapter 2 section C of Ref. [35], chapter 1 sections 1.6, 1.7, 1.8 of Ref. [36] and Ref. [37].

At the quantum level the constraint χ_D becomes the Dirac equation $\gamma_5(\gamma^\mu \hat{p}_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0$

$[\hat{p}_\mu = i\partial_\mu$; from now on $\hat{}$ will denote operators], whose square $(\hat{p}^2 - m^2)\psi(x) = 0$ is consistent with the quantization of the constraint χ . However, it is disturbing that no trace of the pseudoclassical fibration describing the spin structure is present in the quantum description: the Dirac spinor naturally describes only the spin structure and does not seem able to trace a worldline in Minkowski spacetime.

Since on spacelike hypersurfaces (and, in particular, on the Wigner hyperplanes orthogonal to the 4-momentum and defining the rest frame) one must choose the sign of the energy of the spinning particle and since to describe a $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ particle one needs to reduce the Clifford algebra C_5 associated with the Dirac matrices to a Clifford algebra C_3 associated with Pauli matrices, let us look for a canonical transformation to the rest frame which could help in this job. Since we can restrict ourselves to timelike p_μ due to $\chi \approx 0$, we can use the standard Wigner boost for timelike Poincaré orbits [13] $L^\mu{}_\nu(p, \overset{o}{p}) = \eta^\mu_\nu + 2\frac{p^\mu \overset{o}{p}_\nu}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{(p^\mu + \overset{o}{p}^\mu)(p_\nu + \overset{o}{p}_\nu)}{\epsilon(\epsilon + p_o)}$, where $\overset{o}{p}^\mu = (\epsilon, \vec{0})$, $\epsilon = \eta\sqrt{p^2}$, $\eta = \text{sign } p_o = \pm 1$. If we denote $\epsilon_A^\mu(u(p)) = L^\mu{}_A(p, \overset{o}{p})$ with $\epsilon_o^\mu(u(p)) = u^\mu(p) = p^\mu/\epsilon$, this new canonical transformation maps the canonical basis x^μ , p^μ , ξ^μ , ξ_5 , in the new canonical basis (see Refs. [13,8] for the definition of $\tilde{x}^\mu$)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{x}^\mu &= x^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_A^\nu(u(p))\eta^{AB}\frac{\partial\epsilon_B^\rho(u(p))}{\partial p_\mu}S_{\rho\nu} = \\ &= x^\mu - \frac{1}{\epsilon(p_o + \epsilon)}[p_\nu S^{\nu\mu} + \epsilon(S^{o\mu} - S^{ov}\frac{p_\nu p^\mu}{\epsilon^2})],\end{aligned}$$

$$p_\mu = p_\mu,$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_\tau = \frac{p_\mu \xi^\mu}{\epsilon},$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_r = \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p))\xi_\mu,$$

$$\xi_5 = \xi_5,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{\tilde{x}^\mu, p^\nu\}^* &= -\eta^{\mu\nu}, & \{\xi_5, \xi_5\} &= -i, \\ \{\tilde{\xi}_\tau, \tilde{\xi}_\tau\}^* &= i, & \{\tilde{\xi}_r, \tilde{\xi}_s\}^* &= -i\delta_{rs}.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

As shown in Ref. [13], $\tilde{\xi}_r$ is a Wigner spin 1 3-vector. The rest-frame spin tensor [8] is $\bar{S}_{AB} = \epsilon_A^\mu(u(p))\epsilon_B^\nu(u(p))S_{\mu\nu} = -i\tilde{\xi}_A\tilde{\xi}_B$ [$\tilde{\xi}_A = (\tilde{\xi}_\tau; \tilde{\xi}_r)$] with $\bar{S}_r = -\frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{ruv}\tilde{\xi}_u\tilde{\xi}_v$ and $\bar{S}_{\tau r} = -i\tilde{\xi}_\tau\tilde{\xi}_r$. The

noncovariant variable [13,8] $\tilde{x}^\mu$ and its momentum p^μ may be replaced by the canonical basis [13] $\epsilon = \eta\sqrt{p^2}$, $T = p_\mu\tilde{x}^\mu/\epsilon = p_\mu x^\mu/\epsilon$, $\vec{k} = \vec{u}(p)$, $\vec{z} = \epsilon(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{p}}{p_o}\tilde{x}^o)$; T is the rest-frame Lorentz scalar time and the noncovariant variable $\vec{z}$ is the mass multiplied by the classical analogue of the Newton-Wigner position operator (it gives the independent Cauchy data for the 3-position).

In the new variables the constraints become $\chi = p^2 - m^2 = (\epsilon - m)(\epsilon + m) \approx 0$ and $\chi_D = \epsilon(\tilde{\xi}_\tau - \frac{m}{\epsilon}\xi_5) \approx 0$, so that, if $\eta = \text{sign } p_o$, they may be replaced by the two pairs

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\chi}_\eta &= \epsilon - \eta m \approx 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{\eta D} &= \tilde{\xi}_\tau - \eta\xi_5 \approx 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\{\tilde{\chi}_\eta, \tilde{\chi}_\eta\}^* = \{\tilde{\chi}_\eta, \tilde{\chi}_{\eta D}\}^* = \{\tilde{\chi}_{\eta D}, \tilde{\chi}_{\eta D}\}^* = 0, \quad (3)$$

describing the two disjointed branches of the mass hyperboloid. For each branch the Dirac Hamiltonian is $H_{\eta D} = \lambda_\eta(\tau)\tilde{\chi}_\eta(\tau) + i\lambda_{\eta D}(\tau)\tilde{\chi}_{\eta D}$ with the following Hamilton equations for the Grassmann variables : $\dot{\tilde{\xi}}_\tau \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\tilde{\xi}_\tau, H_{\eta D}\}^* = \lambda_{\eta D}$, $\dot{\xi}_5 \stackrel{\circ}{=} \eta\lambda_{\eta D}$, $\dot{\tilde{\xi}}_r \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$. These equations show that both $\tilde{\xi}_\tau$ and ξ_5 are gauge variables on a chosen branch of the mass hyperboloid (where the spin has to be described only by 3 Grassmann variables giving rise after quantization to the Clifford algebra C_3 of Pauli matrices). Therefore, one can add the gauge-fixing constraint $\rho_\eta = \tilde{\xi}_\tau + \eta\tilde{\xi}_5 \approx 0$ [$\{\rho_\eta, \tilde{\chi}_{\eta D}\}^* = 2i$, $\{\rho_\eta, \rho_\eta\}^* = 0$], implying

$$\tilde{\xi}_\tau \approx 0, \quad \xi_5 \approx 0. \quad (4)$$

On each branch the new Dirac brackets [such that $\tilde{\xi}_\tau = \xi_5 \equiv 0$] are $\{A, B\}_\eta^{**} = \{A, B\}^* + \frac{i}{2}[\{A, \tilde{\chi}_{\eta D}\}^*\{\rho_\eta, B\}^* + \{A, \rho_\eta\}^*\{\tilde{\chi}_{\eta D}, B\}^*]$, so that only the variables $\tilde{x}^\mu$, p^μ , $\tilde{\xi}_r$ are left with $\{\tilde{x}^\mu, p^\nu\}_\eta^{**} = -\eta^{\mu\nu}$, $\{\tilde{\xi}_r, \tilde{\xi}_s\}_\eta^{**} = -i\delta_{rs}$ and Dirac Hamiltonian $H_{\eta D} = \lambda_\eta(\tau)\tilde{\chi}_\eta$.

Now one has $\bar{S}_{\tau r} \equiv 0$ [the boost part of the spin tensor disappears, because $\tilde{\xi}_\tau \approx 0$ implies $p_\mu S^{\mu\nu} \approx 0$ in this gauge], $\bar{S}_{rs} = -i\tilde{\xi}_r\tilde{\xi}_s$, $\bar{S}_r = -\frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{ruv}\tilde{\xi}_u\tilde{\xi}_v$, and then [8] one gets:
i) $\tilde{x}^\mu = x^\mu - \frac{1}{\epsilon}[\eta_A^\mu(\bar{S}^{\tau A} - \frac{\bar{S}^{Ar}p^r}{p_o+\epsilon}) + \frac{p^\mu+2\epsilon\eta^{\mu o}}{\epsilon(p_o+\epsilon)}\bar{S}^{\tau r}p^r] \equiv x^\mu + \frac{\eta_s^\mu\bar{S}^{sr}p^r}{\epsilon(p_o+\epsilon)} = x^\mu + \eta_s^\mu\frac{(\vec{S}\times\vec{p})^s}{\epsilon(p_o+\epsilon)}$ and $\vec{z} =$

$\epsilon[\vec{x} + \frac{\vec{S} \times \vec{p}}{\epsilon(p_o + \epsilon)} - \frac{\vec{p}}{p_o} x^o]$ [$\tilde{x}^o = x^o$] for the noncovariant positions; ii) p^μ , $J^{ij} = \tilde{x}^i p^j - \tilde{x}^j p^i + \delta^{ir} \delta^{js} \bar{S}^{rs}$, $J^{oi} = \tilde{x}^o p^i - \tilde{x}^i p^o - \frac{\delta^{ir} (\vec{S} \times \vec{p})^r}{p_o + \epsilon}$ for the Poincaré generators.

Let us remark that in the original variables the same result can be obtained by adding the gauge-fixing $\rho_D = p_\mu \xi^\mu + m \xi_5 \approx 0$ to the first class constraint $\chi_D = p_\mu \xi^\mu - m \xi_5 \approx 0$. One has $\{\chi_D, \chi_D\}^* = \{\rho_D, \rho_D\}^* = i\chi$, $\{\chi_D, \rho_D\}^* = i(p^2 + m^2) \approx 2im^2$, so that the elimination of these two second class constraints implying $p_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$ and $\xi_5 \approx 0$, gives the Dirac brackets $\{A, B\}^{**} = \{A, B\}^* + \frac{i(p^2 + m^2)}{(p^2 + m^2)^2 - \chi^2} [\{A, \chi_D\}^* \{\rho_D, B\}^* + \{A, \rho_D\}^* \{\chi_D, B\}^*] - \frac{i\chi}{(p^2 + m^2)^2 - \chi^2} [\{A, \chi_D\}^* \{\chi_D, B\}^* + \{A, \rho_D\}^* \{\rho_D, B\}^*]$. However, it is not possible to eliminate one component of $\xi^\mu \equiv \xi_\perp^\mu = (\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2}) \xi_\nu$ without breaking Lorentz covariance; moreover, one gets $\{x^\mu, x^\nu\}^{**} \neq 0$, $\{x^\mu, p^\nu\}^{**} \neq -\eta^{\mu\nu}$.

Quantizing one gets $[\hat{\xi}_r, \hat{\xi}_s]_+ = \hbar \delta_{rs}$, so that $\tilde{\xi}_r \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2}} \sigma_r$, with σ_r being Pauli matrices (transforming as Wigner spin 1 3-vectors under Lorentz transformations). Therefore, this is the pseudoclassical description of massive spinning particles belonging to the $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ (for $\eta = 1$) and $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ (for $\eta = -1$) representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Since only the constraint $\tilde{\chi}_\eta = \epsilon - \eta m \approx 0$ is left, at the quantum level one has only the wave equation $(i\frac{\partial}{\partial T} - \eta m) \psi_\eta(T, \vec{z}) = 0$ with ψ_η a $SU(2)$ 2-spinor. This equation, defining the mass shell, is not a spinor equation, because such an equation does not exist in the massive case with massive 2-spinors [in the massless case it would be the Weyl equation]. See Ref. [13] for the study of the wave functions $\phi(T, \vec{z})$, $\phi(\epsilon, \vec{k})$, associated with the replacement of $\tilde{x}^\mu$, p^μ , with $T, \epsilon, \vec{z}, \vec{k}$. In the momentum basis the wave equation becomes (after multiplication by η) $(\eta\epsilon - m) \psi_\eta(\epsilon, \vec{k}) = 0$ or, by introducing the 4×4 matrix $\gamma_{(cha)}^\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $[\epsilon \gamma_{(cha)}^\tau - m] \psi_{(cha)}(\epsilon, \vec{k}) = 0$ with $\psi_{(cha)} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{(cha)+} \\ \psi_{(cha)-} \end{pmatrix}$. This is the Chakrabarti's representation [38], which, as noted in this paper, coincides with the Shirokov's representation [39] for spin 1/2. It is obtained from the Dirac equation in momentum space $(p_\mu \gamma^\mu - m) \psi(p) = 0$ by diagonalizing $p_\mu \gamma^\mu$ with a similarity transformation generated by $\hat{U}_{(cha)} = e^{i\hat{S}_{(cha)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(p_o + m)}} [\gamma^o \gamma^\mu p_\mu + m]$ [$p^o = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$]; the quantum Poincaré generators are just the quantization of the classical ones just given

with $\vec{S} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$. In Ref. [38] it is shown that $\psi_{(cha)}(p) = \hat{U}_{(cha)}\psi(p) = [Q(L(\overset{\circ}{p}, p))\psi](p) = Q(p, L(\overset{\circ}{p}, p))\psi(p)$, since a homogeneous Lorentz transformation may be written as $U(\Lambda) = Q(\Lambda)T(\Lambda)$ with $[T(\Lambda)\psi](p) = \psi(\Lambda^{-1}p)$ and $[Q(\Lambda)\psi](p) = Q(p, \Lambda)\psi(p)$; namely $\psi_{(cha)}(p)$ is obtained by boosting at rest $\psi(p)$ with the Wigner boost, consistently with our previous classical canonical transformation. The variable $\vec{x}$ [or $\vec{z}$] goes into a quantum position operator $\hat{x}_{(cha)}$, which can be obtained from the standard operator $\hat{x}$ by means of $\hat{U}_{(cha)}$. Since the parity operator $\gamma_{(cha)}^\tau$ is diagonal in the Chakrabarti representation, $\pm\psi_{(cha)\pm}$ are parity eigenstates and also eigenstates of spin, $\vec{\Sigma}_{(cha)} = \gamma_{5(ch a)}\gamma_{(cha)}^\tau\vec{\gamma}_{(cha)} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$ and helicity $h(\vec{p}) = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}_{(cha)}/|\vec{p}|$ [they are mixed by charge conjugation $C_{(cha)} = i\gamma_{(cha)}^2\gamma_{(cha)}^\tau$ and by chirality $\gamma_{5(ch a)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$].

It is evident that this representation is different from the Foldy-Wouthuysen one [32], which is reviewed in the Appendix together with other relevant representations.

Instead in Ref. [29], one starts with the Lagrangian

$$L' = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\dot{x}^2}{e} + em^2 - i(\xi_\mu\dot{\xi}^\mu - \xi_5\dot{\xi}_5) - i\varphi\left(\frac{\xi_\mu\dot{x}^\mu}{e} - m\xi_5\right)\right\}, \quad (5)$$

where e and φ are Lagrange multipliers ensuring the existence of two first class constraints $p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$ and $p_\mu\xi^\mu - m\xi_5 \approx 0$. The canonical momenta are $\pi^\mu = \frac{i}{2}\xi^\mu$, $\pi_5 = -\frac{i}{2}\xi_5$, $p^\mu = -\frac{1}{e}[\dot{x}^\mu - \frac{i}{2}\varphi\xi^\mu]$; elimination of the Grassmann second class constraints gives the same Dirac brackets and the same quantization as before. Now there is manifest local world-line supersymmetry (invariance under N=1 superdiffeomorphisms), namely the action is invariant under the local infinitesimal transformations $\delta x^\mu = i\alpha(\tau)\xi^\mu$, $\delta\xi^\mu = \alpha(\tau)[\frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{e} - \frac{i}{2e}\varphi\xi^\mu]$, $\delta e = i\alpha(\tau)\varphi$, $\delta\varphi = 2\dot{\alpha}(\tau)$, $\delta\xi_5 = \alpha(\tau)[m + \frac{i}{me}\xi_5(\dot{\xi}_5 - \frac{1}{2}m\varphi)]$.

In Ref. [40] it is shown that the action $S' = \int d\tau L'$ can be put in a superfield form. If one introduces the 3 superfields $X^\mu = x^\mu + \theta\xi^\mu$, $E = e + \theta\varphi$ (e and φ are called the einbein and the gravitino respectively), $B = \xi_5 + \theta b_5$ [b_5 is an even auxiliary field needed because the supersymmetry transformations close on ξ_5 only on-shell], and if $D = \partial_\theta + \theta\partial_\tau$

$[D^2 X^\mu = \partial_\tau X^\mu = \dot{X}^\mu]$ is the spinor derivative, one gets

$$S' = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\theta [E^{-1} D X_\mu \dot{X}^\mu - B D B + 2m B E^{1/2}]. \quad (6)$$

The main point to be observed is that the superfield X^μ may be interpreted as giving a representation of the Grassmann fibration over x^μ associated with the spin structure [the absence of ξ_5 is irrelevant because one can get the Clifford algebra C_4 of Dirac matrices also starting from a Grassmann algebra G_4 with generators $\xi^\mu \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2}} \gamma^\mu$]. Namely one utilizes the Noether supersymmetry transformations but with an interpretation different from the standard one [formation of supermultiplets of different bosonic and fermionic particles]. Let us remark that the Dirac Hamiltonian $H_D = \lambda(\tau)\chi + i\lambda_D(\tau)\chi_D$ associated with the Lagrangian (1) may be interpreted as a Hamiltonian superfield describing the spin structure with the two first class constraints.

In Ref. [41] one tries to eliminate the superfields E and B by putting the solution of their Euler-Lagrange equations in S' . Then, there is a long discussion about the various forms of the reduced action according to possible gauge redefinitions. However a definite final form of the action without ξ_5 is not obtained [it seems that ξ_5 can be explicitly eliminated only in the massless case [42,29]]. Instead, there is the proposal of using a Lagrangian which may be written in the form

$$L = -m\sqrt{\dot{x}^2} - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu \dot{\xi}^\mu - i\lambda \dot{x}^\mu \xi_\mu \quad (7)$$

where $\lambda(\tau)$ is an odd real Lagrange multiplier implying the Lagrangian constraint $\dot{x}^\mu \xi_\mu \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$. This constraint eliminates one of the components of ξ^μ , so that the quantization of the final theory will produce Pauli matrices and $SU(2)$ 2-spinors. The canonical momenta are $p^\mu = \frac{m\dot{x}^\mu}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2}} + i\lambda \xi^\mu$, $\pi_\mu = \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu$, $p^\lambda = 0$ [$\{\lambda, p^\lambda\} = -1$] and there are the primary constraints $\chi = p^2 - m^2 - 2i\lambda p_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$, $\chi_\mu = \pi_\mu - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu \approx 0$, $p^\lambda \approx 0$. The Dirac Hamiltonian $H_D = a(\tau)\chi + ib^\mu(\tau)\chi_\mu + c(\tau)p^\lambda$ implies the secondary constraint $\phi = p_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$ and $b^\mu(\tau) = -a(\tau)p^\mu \lambda$; the time constancy of $\phi \approx 0$ generates the tertiary constraint $\lambda \approx 0$ and then $c(\tau) \approx 0$. The second class constraints $\lambda \approx 0$, $p^\lambda \approx 0$, can be trivially eliminated. The

Dirac Hamiltonian becomes $H_D = a(\tau)(p^2 - m^2)$. The remaining constraints $\chi = p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$, $\chi_\mu = \pi_\mu - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu \approx 0$, $\phi = p_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$, have only the following nonzero Poisson brackets: $\{\chi_\mu, \chi_\nu\} = i\eta_{\mu\nu}$, $\{\chi_\mu, \phi\} = -p_\mu$. While χ is first class, there are 5 second class constraints. By introducing the projector $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} - (p_\mu p_\nu)/p^2$, the 4 χ_μ can be replaced by $p_\mu \pi^\mu \approx 0$ and by $\chi_{\perp\mu} = \Pi_{\mu\nu} \chi^\nu \approx 0$ [$p^\mu \chi_{\perp\mu} \equiv 0$], with the algebra $\{\chi_{\perp\mu}, \chi_{\perp\nu}\} = i\Pi_{\mu\nu}$, $\{p_\mu \pi^\mu, \phi\} = -p^2$. The constraints $\phi = p_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$ and $p_\mu \pi^\mu \approx 0$ form a second class pair, while each of the independent $\chi_{\perp\mu} \approx 0$ is second class by itself. With the Dirac brackets $\{A, B\}^* = \{A, B\} + i\{A, \chi_{\perp\mu}\}\Pi^{\mu\nu}\{\chi_{\perp\nu}, B\} + \frac{1}{p^2}\{A, \hat{\chi}\}\{\phi, B\} + \frac{1}{p^2}\{A, \phi\}\{\hat{\chi}, B\}$, one gets $p_\mu \xi^\mu = p_\mu \pi^\mu \equiv 0$, $\Pi_{\mu\nu} \pi^\nu \equiv \frac{i}{2}\xi_{\perp\mu}$ with $\xi_\perp^\mu = \Pi^{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu \equiv \xi^\mu$, and $\{\xi_\perp^\mu, \xi_\perp^\nu\}^* = i\Pi^{\mu\nu}$. However, again this reduction, implying that only the 3 Grassmann variables $\xi_\perp^\mu$ survive, has the drawback that $\{x^\mu, x^\nu\}^* \neq 0$, $\{x^\mu, p^\nu\}^* \neq -\eta^{\mu\nu}$.

Therefore, starting either from Eq.(1) or from Eq.(7) one arrives at a description without ξ_5 and with $p_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$ for a spinning particle with a definite sign of the energy.

In Ref. [28] there is the coupling of the spinning particle to external electromagnetic fields. It is based on the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= -\frac{i}{2}\xi_5 \dot{\xi}_5 - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu \dot{\xi}^\mu + \\
&- \sqrt{m^2 - ieF_{\mu\nu}(x)\xi^\mu \xi^\nu} \sqrt{\left(\dot{x}_\mu - \frac{i}{m}\xi_\mu \dot{\xi}_5\right)^2} - e\dot{x}_\mu A^\mu(x) = \\
&= -\frac{i}{2}\xi_5 \dot{\xi}_5 - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu \dot{\xi}^\mu - e\dot{x}_\mu A^\mu(x) - \\
&- \left[m - \frac{ie}{2m}F_{\mu\nu}(x)\xi^\mu \xi^\nu - \frac{e^2}{m^3}F_{\mu\nu}(x)F_{\rho\lambda}(x)\xi^\mu \xi^\nu \xi^\rho \xi^\lambda\right] \sqrt{\left(\dot{x}_\mu - \frac{i}{m}\xi_\mu \dot{\xi}_5\right)^2}, \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

which, besides the standard minimal coupling, has a nonminimal “mass renormalization” $-ieF_{\mu\nu}\xi^\mu \xi^\nu = eF_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu}$. The ie coefficient in front of $F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\mu \xi^\nu$, corresponding to the absence of an anomalous magnetic moment of the electron, is the only one ensuring that the two constraints remain first class even in presence of an external electromagnetic field.

Indeed, besides the second class constraints $\pi_\mu - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu \approx 0$ and the added one (like in the free case) $\pi_5 + \frac{i}{2}\xi_5 \approx 0$ [eliminated by going to the Dirac brackets $\{\xi^\mu, \xi^\nu\}^* = i\eta^{\mu\nu}$, $\{\xi_5, \xi_5\}^* = -i$], one gets the first class constraints

$$\chi_D = (p_\mu - eA_\mu(x))\xi^\mu - m\xi_5 \approx 0$$

$$\chi = (p - eA(x))^2 - m^2 + ieF_{\mu\nu}(x)\xi^\mu\xi^\nu \approx 0,$$

$$\{\chi_D, \chi_D\}^* = i\chi, \quad \{\chi, \chi\}^* = \{\chi, \chi_D\}^* = 0. \quad (9)$$

Following the pattern of the free case one could try to eliminate 2 Grassmann variables by adding the gauge-fixing $\rho_D = (p - eA(x))_\mu \xi^\mu + m\xi_5 \approx 0$ with $\{\rho_D, \rho_D\}^* = i\chi$, $\{\chi_D, \rho_D\}^* = (p - eA(x))^2 + m^2 + ieF_{\mu\nu}(x)\xi^\mu\xi^\nu$. This would imply $\xi_5 \approx 0$ and $(p - eA(x))_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$. By going to Dirac brackets one would have $\xi^\mu \equiv \xi_{\perp(A)}^\mu = [\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{(p - eA(x))^\mu (p - eA(x))^\nu}{(p - eA(x))^2}] \xi_\nu$. Besides getting $\{x^\mu, x^\nu\}^{**} \neq 0$, $\{x^\mu, p^\nu\}^{**} \neq -\eta^{\mu\nu}$, one has a external-potential dependent transversality condition and no control on the possible zeroes of $(p - eA(x))^2$.

One could also try to go to the Chakrabarti representation but now with a p_μ which is not conserved and gauge dependent $[A_A(x, p) = A_\mu(x)L^\mu_A(p, \overset{\circ}{p}); \tilde{F}_{AB}(x, p) = F_{\mu\nu}(x)L^\mu_A(p, \overset{\circ}{p})L^\nu_B(p, \overset{\circ}{p})]$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \epsilon^2 - 2eA_\tau(x, p)\epsilon + e^2[A_\tau^2(x, p) - \vec{A}^2(x, p)] - m^2 + ie\tilde{F}_{AB}(x, p)\tilde{\xi}^A\tilde{\xi}^B = \\ &= (\epsilon - \epsilon_+)(\epsilon - \epsilon_-) \approx 0, \\ \epsilon_\eta &= \epsilon_\pm = eA_\tau(x, p) + \eta\sqrt{m^2 + e^2\vec{A}^2(x, p) - ie\tilde{F}_{AB}(x, p)\tilde{\xi}^A\tilde{\xi}^B} = \\ &= eA_\tau(x, p) + \eta\sqrt{m^2 + e^2\vec{A}^2(x, p)}\left[1 - \frac{ie\tilde{F}_{AB}(x, p)\tilde{\xi}^A\tilde{\xi}^B}{2[m^2 + e^2\vec{A}^2(x, p)]} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{e^2\tilde{F}_{\tau r}(x, p)\tilde{F}_{uv}(x, p)\tilde{\xi}_\tau\tilde{\xi}_r\tilde{\xi}_u\tilde{\xi}_v}{2[m^2 + e^2\vec{A}^2(x, p)]^2}\right], \\ \chi_D &= [\epsilon - eA_\tau(x, p)]\tilde{\xi}_\tau + eA_r(x, p)\tilde{\xi}_r - m\xi_5 \approx 0, \\ \Downarrow \\ \chi_\eta &= \epsilon - \epsilon_\eta \approx 0, \\ \chi_{\eta D} &= \tilde{\xi}_\tau - \eta\frac{m\xi_5 - eA_r(x, p)\tilde{\xi}_r}{\sqrt{m^2 + e^2\vec{A}^2(x, p)}}\left[1 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{ie\tilde{F}_{AB}(x, p)\tilde{\xi}^A\tilde{\xi}^B}{2[m^2 + e^2\vec{A}^2(x, p)]} + \frac{3e^2\tilde{F}_{\tau r}(x, p)\tilde{F}_{uv}(x, p)\tilde{\xi}_\tau\tilde{\xi}_r\tilde{\xi}_u\tilde{\xi}_v}{2[m^2 + e^2\vec{A}^2(x, p)]^2}\right], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and the calculations become quite involved. Moreover, the separation of $\epsilon = \eta\sqrt{p^2}$ (now not gauge invariant) is natural from the point of view of the particle but not natural from that of the gauge-fixing $\rho_D \approx 0$ and one has no control on the reality of the square roots.

All these problems are connected to the fact that, in presence of external electromagnetic fields, one cannot diagonalize the Hamiltonian with the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation, because arbitrary electric fields may create crossings of the two branches of the mass hyperboloid (so that the square roots may become complex; all this is interpreted as the classical background of pair production). In Ref. [34] there is a study of the pseudoclassical Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation in presence of external electromagnetic fields. It is shown that at the pseudoclassical level everything works with arbitrary external stationary non-homogeneous magnetic fields: the quantization gives rise to a Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation which diagonalizes the Hamiltonian if these external magnetic fields are also radiation fields (i.e. they satisfy Maxwell's equations without sources). See chapter 5 sections 5.4, 5.5, 5.6 of Ref. [36] for the cases (supersymmetric Dirac operator) in which there exist simultaneous exact Foldy-Wouthuysen and Cini-Touschek transformations; for an electron this requires zero electric field.

Finally also the electric charge of the spinning particle may be described at the pseudoclassical level (quantization of charge) with a pair θ, θ^* , of complex Grassmann variables [see for instance Refs. [28,8]]

$$\begin{aligned}
L = & \frac{i}{2}(\theta^*\dot{\theta} - \dot{\theta}^*\theta) - \frac{i}{2}\xi_5\dot{\xi}_5 - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu\dot{\xi}^\mu - \\
& - \sqrt{m^2 - iQF_{\mu\nu}(x)\xi^\mu\xi^\nu} \sqrt{\left(\dot{x}_\mu - \frac{i}{m}\xi_\mu\dot{\xi}_5\right)^2} - Q\dot{x}_\mu A^\mu(x), \\
Q = & e\theta^*\theta.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now there are the extra pairs of second class constraints $\pi_\theta + \frac{i}{2}\theta^* \approx 0$, $\pi_{\theta^*} + \frac{i}{2}\theta \approx 0$; the Poisson brackets $\{\theta, \pi_\theta\} = \{\theta^*, \pi_{\theta^*}\} = -1$ become the Dirac brackets $\{\theta, \theta^*\}^* = -i$. The quantization sends θ, θ^* , in the annihilation and creation operators $\hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger$, of a Fermi

oscillator, so that the quantum electric charge $\hat{Q} = e\hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b}$ has two levels: $Q=0$ and $Q=e$.

III. NEW SPINNING PARTICLE ON SPACELIKE HYPERSURFACES.

To define a charged spinning particle with a definite sign of the energy on spacelike hypersurfaces, we shall start with the Lagrangian description of a charged scalar particle [see Refs. [8,9]] with a real Grassmann 4-vector $\xi^\mu(\tau)$ [but without ξ_5] for the description of spin, we shall make the Legendre transformation to the Hamiltonian formalism and then we shall add a Hamiltonian odd second class constraint (like $p_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$ of the previous Section) eliminating one of the components of ξ^μ . At the end of the Section we shall find the Lagrangian for this system, by making the inverse Legendre transformation, only in the free case, because the full Lagrangian with also the electromagnetic field is too complicated and not illuminating. As usual in relativistic particle mechanics, only the Hamiltonian description is tractable, because the Lagrangian one is too involved and very often it is impossible to get it in closed form.

Let us first review some preliminary results from Refs. [8,9] needed in the description of physical systems on spacelike hypersurfaces, integrating it with the definitions needed to describe the isolated system of N scalar particles with pseudoclassical Grassmann-valued spin and electric charges plus the electromagnetic field [8].

Let $\{\Sigma_\tau\}$ be a one-parameter family of spacelike hypersurfaces foliating Minkowski space-time M^4 and giving a 3+1 decomposition of it. At fixed τ , let $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ be the coordinates of the points on Σ_τ in M^4 , $\{\vec{\sigma}\}$ a system of coordinates on Σ_τ . If $\sigma^{\check{A}} = (\sigma^\tau = \tau; \vec{\sigma} = \{\sigma^{\check{r}}\})$ [the notation $\check{A} = (\tau, \check{r})$ with $\check{r} = 1, 2, 3$ will be used; note that $\check{A} = \tau$ and $\check{A} = \check{r} = 1, 2, 3$ are Lorentz-scalar indices] and $\partial_{\check{A}} = \partial/\partial\sigma^{\check{A}}$, one can define the vierbeins

$$z_{\check{A}}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \partial_{\check{A}} z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \quad \partial_{\check{B}} z_{\check{A}}^\mu - \partial_{\check{A}} z_{\check{B}}^\mu = 0, \quad (12)$$

so that the metric on Σ_τ is

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= z_{\check{A}}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \eta_{\mu\nu} z_{\check{B}}^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \quad g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) > 0, \\ g(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= -\det ||g_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})|| = (\det ||z_{\check{A}}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})||)^2, \\ \gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= -\det ||g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})||. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

If $\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ is the inverse of the 3-metric $g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ [$\gamma^{\check{r}\check{u}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})g_{\check{u}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \delta_{\check{s}}^{\check{r}}$], the inverse $g^{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ of $g_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ [$g^{\check{A}\check{C}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})g_{\check{C}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \delta_{\check{B}}^{\check{A}}$] is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}, \\ g^{\tau\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= -\left[\frac{\gamma}{g}g_{\tau\check{u}}\gamma^{\check{u}\check{r}}\right](\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \\ g^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \left[\frac{\gamma}{g}g_{\tau\check{u}}g_{\tau\check{v}}\gamma^{\check{u}\check{r}}\gamma^{\check{v}\check{s}}\right](\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

so that $1 = g^{\tau\check{C}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})g_{\check{C}\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} = g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})g_{\tau\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}). \quad (15)$$

We have

$$z_{\tau}^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{g}{\gamma}}l^{\mu} + g_{\tau\check{r}}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}z_{\check{s}}^{\mu}\right)(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{\mu\nu} &= z_A^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})g^{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})z_B^{\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \\ &= (l^{\mu}l^{\nu} + z_{\check{r}}^{\mu}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}z_{\check{s}}^{\nu})(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\epsilon^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}z_1^{\alpha}z_2^{\beta}z_3^{\gamma}\right)(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \\ l^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= 1, \quad l_{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})z_{\check{r}}^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

is the unit (future pointing) normal to Σ_{τ} at $z^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$.

For the volume element in Minkowski spacetime we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^4z &= z_{\tau}^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})d\tau d^3\Sigma_{\mu} = d\tau[z_{\tau}^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})l_{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})]\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}d^3\sigma = \\ &= \sqrt{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}d\tau d^3\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Let us remark that according to the geometrical approach of Ref. [11], one can use Eq.(16) in the form $z_{\tau}^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = N(\tau, \vec{\sigma})l^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + N^{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})z_{\check{r}}^{\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, where $N = \sqrt{g/\gamma} =$

$\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau} - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} g_{\tau\tilde{r}} g_{\tau\tilde{s}}}$ and $N^{\tilde{r}} = g_{\tau\tilde{s}} \gamma^{\tilde{s}\tilde{r}}$ are the standard lapse and shift functions, so that $g_{\tau\tau} = N^2 + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} N^{\tilde{r}} N^{\tilde{s}}, g_{\tau\tilde{r}} = g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} N^{\tilde{s}}, g^{\tau\tau} = N^{-2}, g^{\tau\tilde{r}} = -N^{\tilde{r}}/N^2, g^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} = \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} + \frac{N^{\tilde{r}} N^{\tilde{s}}}{N^2},$
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_r^\mu} = l_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial N} + z_{\tilde{s}\mu} \gamma^{\tilde{s}\tilde{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial N^{\tilde{r}}}, d^4 z = N \sqrt{\gamma} d\tau d^3 \sigma.$

The rest frame form of a timelike fourvector p^μ is $\overset{\circ}{p}^\mu = \eta \sqrt{p^2} (1; \vec{0}) = \eta^{\mu o} \eta \sqrt{p^2}, \overset{\circ}{p}^2 = p^2,$ where $\eta = \text{sign } p^o$. The standard Wigner boost transforming $\overset{\circ}{p}^\mu$ into p^μ is

$$\begin{aligned} L^\mu{}_\nu(p, \overset{\circ}{p}) &= \epsilon_\nu^\mu(u(p)) = \\ &= \eta_\nu^\mu + 2 \frac{p^\mu \overset{\circ}{p}_\nu}{p^2} - \frac{(p^\mu + \overset{\circ}{p}^\mu)(p_\nu + \overset{\circ}{p}_\nu)}{p \cdot \overset{\circ}{p} + p^2} = \\ &= \eta_\nu^\mu + 2u^\mu(p)u_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}) - \frac{(u^\mu(p) + u^\mu(\overset{\circ}{p}))(u_\nu(p) + u_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}))}{1 + u^o(p)}, \\ \nu = 0 \quad \epsilon_o^\mu(u(p)) &= u^\mu(p) = p^\mu / \eta \sqrt{p^2}, \\ \nu = r \quad \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p)) &= (-u_r(p); \delta_r^i - \frac{u^i(p)u_r(p)}{1 + u^o(p)}). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The inverse of $L^\mu{}_\nu(p, \overset{\circ}{p})$ is $L^\mu{}_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}, p)$, the standard boost to the rest frame, defined by

$$L^\mu{}_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}, p) = L_\nu{}^\mu(p, \overset{\circ}{p}) = L^\mu{}_\nu(p, \overset{\circ}{p})|_{\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}}. \tag{21}$$

Therefore, we can define the following vierbeins [the $\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p))$'s are also called polarization vectors; the indices r, s will be used for A=1,2,3 and $\bar{o}$ for A=0]

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_A^\mu(u(p)) &= L^\mu{}_A(p, \overset{\circ}{p}), \\ \epsilon_\mu^A(u(p)) &= L^A{}_\mu(\overset{\circ}{p}, p) = \eta^{AB} \eta_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_B^\nu(u(p)), \\ \epsilon_{\bar{o}}^\mu(u(p)) &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_o^\nu(u(p)) = u_\mu(p), \\ \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p)) &= -\delta^{rs} \eta_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_r^\nu(u(p)) = (\delta^{rs} u_s(p); \delta_j^r - \delta^{rs} \delta_{jh} \frac{u^h(p)u_s(p)}{1 + u^o(p)}), \\ \epsilon_o^A(u(p)) &= u_A(p), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

which satisfy

$$\epsilon_\mu^A(u(p)) \epsilon_A^\nu(u(p)) = \eta_\nu^\mu,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_\mu^A(u(p))\epsilon_B^\mu(u(p)) &= \eta_B^A, \\
\eta^{\mu\nu} &= \epsilon_A^\mu(u(p))\eta^{AB}\epsilon_B^\nu(u(p)) = u^\mu(p)u^\nu(p) - \sum_{r=1}^3 \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p))\epsilon_r^\nu(u(p)), \\
\eta_{AB} &= \epsilon_A^\mu(u(p))\eta_{\mu\nu}\epsilon_B^\nu(u(p)), \\
p_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} \epsilon_A^\mu(u(p)) &= p_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} \epsilon_\mu^A(u(p)) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The Wigner rotation corresponding to the Lorentz transformation Λ is

$$\begin{aligned}
R^\mu{}_\nu(\Lambda, p) &= [L(\vec{p}, p)\Lambda^{-1}L(\Lambda p, \vec{p})]^\mu{}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R^i{}_j(\Lambda, p) \end{pmatrix}, \\
R^i{}_j(\Lambda, p) &= (\Lambda^{-1})^i{}_j - \frac{(\Lambda^{-1})^i{}_o p_\beta (\Lambda^{-1})^\beta{}_j}{p_\rho (\Lambda^{-1})^\rho{}_o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}} - \\
&\quad - \frac{p^i}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}} [(\Lambda^{-1})^o{}_j - \frac{((\Lambda^{-1})^o{}_o - 1)p_\beta (\Lambda^{-1})^\beta{}_j}{p_\rho (\Lambda^{-1})^\rho{}_o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}].
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The polarization vectors transform under the Poincaré transformations (a, Λ) in the following way

$$\epsilon_r^\mu(u(\Lambda p)) = (R^{-1})_r{}^s \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \epsilon_s^\nu(u(p)). \tag{25}$$

In Ref. [8], the system of N charged scalar particles was considered. As said in the Introduction, on the hypersurface Σ_τ the particles are described by variables $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$ such that $x_i^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$. The electric charge of each particle is described in a pseudoclassical way [28] by means of a pair of complex conjugate Grassmann variables $\theta_i(\tau), \theta_i^*(\tau)$ satisfying $[I_i = I_i^* = \theta_i^* \theta_i$ is the generator of the $U_{em}(1)$ group of particle i]

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_i^2 &= \theta_i^{*2} = 0, & \theta_i \theta_i^* + \theta_i^* \theta_i &= 0, \\
\theta_i \theta_j &= \theta_j \theta_i, & \theta_i \theta_j^* &= \theta_j^* \theta_i, & \theta_i^* \theta_j^* &= \theta_j^* \theta_i^*, & i &\neq j.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

This amounts to assume that the electric charges $Q_i = e_i \theta_i^* \theta_i$, $Q_i^2 = 0$, are quantized with levels 0 and e_i [28] as already said.

Let $\xi_i^\mu(\tau)$ $i = (1, \dots, N)$ be the Grassmann variables describing the spin of the spinning particles (they are assumed to commute with the Grassmann variables describing the electric charges)

$$\xi_i^\mu \xi_i^\nu + \xi_i^\nu \xi_i^\mu = 0, \quad \xi_i^\mu \xi_j^\nu = \xi_i^\nu \xi_j^\mu, \quad i \neq j. \quad (27)$$

On the hypersurface Σ_τ , we describe the electromagnetic potential and field strength with Lorentz-scalar variables $A_{\check{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and $F_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ respectively, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\check{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= z_{\check{A}}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) A_\mu(z(\tau, \vec{\sigma})), \\ F_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \partial_{\check{A}} A_{\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \partial_{\check{B}} A_{\check{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = z_{\check{A}}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{B}}^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\mu\nu}(z(\tau, \vec{\sigma})). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Momentarily disregarding the problem to reduce the ξ_i^μ variables to only three for each particle, we shall assume the following Lagrangian density for the isolated system of N spinning charged particles plus the electromagnetic field on spacelike hypersurfaces, since it generalizes the Lagrangian for N charged scalar particles [8] incorporating simultaneously the main properties of the Lagrangian (8)]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \left\{ \frac{i}{2} (\theta_i^*(\tau) \dot{\theta}_i(\tau) - \dot{\theta}_i^*(\tau) \theta_i(\tau)) - \frac{i}{2} \xi_{i\mu}(\tau) \dot{\xi}_i^\mu(\tau) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - i Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau) z_{\check{\mu}}^{\check{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{\nu}}^{\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2 g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \dot{\eta}_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \dot{\eta}_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) \dot{\eta}_i^{\check{s}}(\tau)} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - Q_i(\tau) (A_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \dot{\eta}_i^{\check{r}} A_{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \right\} - \frac{\sqrt{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}}{4} F_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\check{C}\check{D}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) g^{\check{A}\check{C}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) g^{\check{B}\check{D}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The main point is to see whether this Lagrangian gives rise to a consistent set of constraints, reducing to the constraints for the scalar case of Ref. [8] by eliminating the spin.

The canonical momenta are $[E_{\check{r}} = F_{\check{r}\tau}$ and $B_{\check{r}} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\check{r}\check{s}\check{t}} F_{\check{s}\check{t}} (\epsilon_{\check{r}\check{s}\check{t}} = \epsilon^{\check{r}\check{s}\check{t}})$ are the electric and magnetic fields respectively; for $g_{\check{A}\check{B}} \rightarrow \eta_{\check{A}\check{B}}$ one gets $\pi^{\check{r}} = -E_{\check{r}} = E^{\check{r}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\theta_i}(\tau) &= \frac{\partial^L L(\tau)}{\partial \dot{\theta}_i(\tau)} = -\frac{i}{2} \theta_i^*(\tau) \\ \pi_{\theta_i^*}(\tau) &= \frac{\partial^L L(\tau)}{\partial \dot{\theta}_i^*(\tau)} = -\frac{i}{2} \theta_i(\tau) \\ \pi_i^\mu(\tau) &= \frac{\partial^L L(\tau)}{\partial \dot{\xi}_{i\mu}(\tau)} = \frac{i}{2} \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial z_\tau^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \eta_i m_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{z_{\tau\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + z_{\tilde{r}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2g_{\tau\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau)\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)}} - \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \\
& \left(\frac{i\eta_i Q_i}{2m_i} \xi_i^\rho(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau) z_\rho^{\tilde{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_\nu^{\tilde{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \cdot \right. \\
& \frac{z_{\tau\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + z_{\tilde{r}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2g_{\tau\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau)\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)}} + \\
& + \frac{i\eta_i Q_i}{m_i} \{ \{ \xi_i^\rho(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau) [z_{\tau\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) (g^{\tilde{A}\tau} g^{\tau\tilde{C}} g^{\tilde{B}\tilde{D}} + g^{\tilde{A}\tilde{C}} g^{\tilde{B}\tau} g^{\tau\tilde{D}}) + \\
& + z_{\tilde{r}\mu} (g^{\tilde{A}\tilde{r}} g^{\tau\tilde{C}} + g^{\tilde{A}\tau} g^{\tilde{r}\tilde{C}}) g^{\tilde{B}\tilde{D}}] z_{\tilde{C}\rho} z_{\tilde{D}\nu} + \xi_{i\mu}(\tau) \xi_{i\rho}(\tau) g^{\tilde{A}\tau} g^{\tilde{B}\tilde{D}} z_{\tilde{D}}^\rho \} F_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \} (\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \\
& \left. \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2g_{\tau\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau)\dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)} \right) + \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}}{4} [(g^{\tau\tau} z_{\tau\mu} + g^{\tau\tilde{r}} z_{\tilde{r}\mu})(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) g^{\tilde{A}\tilde{C}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) g^{\tilde{B}\tilde{D}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\tilde{C}\tilde{D}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \\
& - 2[z_{\tau\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) (g^{\tilde{A}\tau} g^{\tau\tilde{C}} g^{\tilde{B}\tilde{D}} + g^{\tilde{A}\tilde{C}} g^{\tilde{B}\tau} g^{\tau\tilde{D}})(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
& + z_{\tilde{r}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) (g^{\tilde{A}\tilde{r}} g^{\tau\tilde{C}} + g^{\tilde{A}\tau} g^{\tilde{r}\tilde{C}})(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) g^{\tilde{B}\tilde{D}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})] F_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\tilde{C}\tilde{D}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})] = \\
& = [(\rho_\nu l^\nu) l_\mu + (\rho_\nu z_{\tilde{r}}^\nu) \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} z_{\tilde{s}\mu}] (\tau, \vec{\sigma}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{i\tilde{r}}(\tau) &= -\frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial \dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau)} = \\
&= \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - iQ_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau) z_\mu^{\tilde{A}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) z_\nu^{\tilde{B}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) F_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))} \\
& \frac{g_{\tau\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + 2g_{\tau\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \dot{\eta}_i^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)}} + \\
& + e_i \theta_i^*(\tau) \theta_i(\tau) A_{\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial (\partial_\tau A_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))} = 0 \\
\pi^{\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial (\partial_\tau A_{\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))} = -\frac{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}} \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) (F_{\tau\tilde{s}} - g_{\tau\tilde{v}} \gamma^{\tilde{v}\tilde{u}} F_{\tilde{u}\tilde{s}})(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) i\eta_i \frac{Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau) l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\tilde{s}\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) k_{i\tilde{r}}(\tau) k_{i\tilde{s}}(\tau)}} \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \\
& = \frac{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}} \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) (E_{\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + g_{\tau\tilde{v}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \gamma^{\tilde{v}\tilde{u}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \epsilon_{\tilde{u}\tilde{s}\tilde{t}} B_{\tilde{t}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) +
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) i\eta_i \frac{Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau) l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{s\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) k_{i\check{r}}(\tau) k_{i\check{s}}(\tau)}} \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}). \quad (30)$$

Let us note that, due to the interaction of the electromagnetic field with the spin, the electromagnetic momentum $\pi^{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ has an extra term concentrated on the particles.

The following Poisson brackets are assumed

$$\begin{aligned} \{z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \rho_\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}')\} &= -\eta_\nu^\mu \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}'), \\ \{A_A(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \pi^{\check{B}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}')\} &= \eta_A^{\check{B}} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}'), \\ \{\eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau), \kappa_{j\check{s}}(\tau)\} &= -\delta_{ij} \delta_{\check{s}}, \\ \{\theta_i(\tau), \pi_{\theta j}(\tau)\} &= -\delta_{ij}, \\ \{\theta_i^*(\tau), \pi_{\theta^* j}(\tau)\} &= -\delta_{ij}, \\ \{\xi_i^\mu, \pi_j^\nu\} &= -\delta_{ij} \eta^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The Grassmann momenta associated with the Grassmann variables describing electric charges give rise to the second class constraints $\pi_{\theta i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i^* \approx 0$, $\pi_{\theta^* i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i \approx 0$ [$\{\pi_{\theta i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i^*, \pi_{\theta^* j} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_j\} = -i\delta_{ij}$]; $\pi_{\theta i}$ and $\pi_{\theta^* i}$ are then eliminated with the help of Dirac brackets

$$\{A, B\}^* = \{A, B\} - i[\{A, \pi_{\theta i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i^*\}\{\pi_{\theta^* i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i, B\} + \{A, \pi_{\theta^* i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i\}\{\pi_{\theta i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i^*, B\}] \quad (32)$$

so that the remaining Grassmann variables have the fundamental Dirac brackets [which we will still denote $\{.,.\}$ for the sake of simplicity]

$$\begin{aligned} \{\theta_i(\tau), \theta_j(\tau)\} &= \{\theta_i^*(\tau), \theta_j^*(\tau)\} = 0, \\ \{\theta_i(\tau), \theta_j^*(\tau)\} &= -i\delta_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Moreover, we also have the following primary constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i^\mu(\tau) &= \pi_i^\mu(\tau) - \frac{i}{2}\xi_i^\mu(\tau) \approx 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\ \pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\approx 0 \\ \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \rho_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \left[-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}} \pi^{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \pi^{\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}}{4} \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \gamma^{\check{u}\check{v}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\check{r}\check{u}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\check{s}\check{v}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \eta_i \cdot \\
& \cdot \sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} (k_{i\check{r}}(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) A_{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) (k_{i\check{s}}(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) A_{\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + \\
& + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)) \cdot \\
& \cdot \frac{\eta_i \eta_j Q_i(\tau) Q_j(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau) l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \eta_{\nu\beta} \xi_j^\alpha(\tau) \xi_j^\beta(\tau) l_\alpha(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} k_{i\check{r}}(\tau) k_{i\check{s}}(\tau) \sqrt{m_j^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} k_{j\check{r}}(\tau) k_{j\check{s}}(\tau)} + \\
& + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \cdot \\
& \cdot \frac{\eta_i Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} k_{i\check{r}}(\tau) k_{i\check{s}}(\tau)} l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{s}\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \pi^{\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
& - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \frac{\eta_i Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} k_{i\check{r}}(\tau) k_{i\check{s}}(\tau)} \cdot \\
& \cdot z_{\check{u}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{v}\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \gamma^{\check{r}\check{u}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \gamma^{\check{s}\check{v}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Big] + \\
& - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{s}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Big[F_{\check{r}\check{u}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \pi^{\check{u}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) (k_{\check{r}i} - Q_i(\tau) A_{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \Big] \approx 0. \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

As we see, the component of $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ along $l^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ [i.e. orthogonal to Σ_τ] contains the electromagnetic energy density and also “spin-spin”, “spin-electric field” and “spin-magnetic field” interactions. All of them are necessary to get the first class property for these constraints. Instead the components of $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ along $z_r^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ [i.e. tangent to Σ_τ] contain only the electromagnetic Poynting vector as with scalar particles [8].

The canonical Hamiltonian is

$$H_c = - \int d^3\sigma A_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \tag{35}$$

with $\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \partial_{\check{r}} \pi^{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) Q_i(\tau)$. The Dirac Hamiltonian is

$$H_D = H_c + \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_{i\mu}(\tau) \chi_i^\mu(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma [\lambda^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu + \mu_\tau \pi^\tau](\tau, \vec{\sigma}); \tag{36}$$

since $[\chi_i^\mu(\tau)]^* = -\chi_i^\mu(\tau)$ are immaginary odd quantities, H_D is real if the odd multipliers are real: $\mu_{i\mu}^*(\tau) = \mu_{i\mu}(\tau)$.

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\chi_i^\mu(\tau), \chi_j^\nu(\tau)\} &= i\eta^{\mu\nu}\delta_{ij}, \\
\{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_i^\nu(\tau)\} &= -l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \left[\frac{\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))Q_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{\gamma}\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\kappa_{is}(\tau)}} \right. \\
&\quad \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau))Q_j(\tau)l_\alpha\xi_j^\alpha(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_j^2 - \gamma^{\check{u}\check{v}}\kappa_{ju}(\tau)\kappa_{jv}(\tau)}} (\xi_{j\beta}(\tau)\xi_i^\beta(\tau)l^\nu - \xi_j^\nu(\tau)\xi_i^\beta(\tau)l_\beta) + \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))Q_i(\tau)}{\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\kappa_{is}(\tau)}} \pi^{\check{s}}(l^\nu z_{\check{s}\alpha}\xi_i^\alpha(\tau) - l_\alpha z_{\check{s}}^\nu \xi_i^\alpha(\tau)) - \\
&\quad \left. - i \frac{\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))Q_i(\tau)}{\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\kappa_{is}(\tau)}} z_{\check{u}}^\nu z_{\check{v}\beta}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{u}}\gamma^{\check{s}\check{v}}F_{\check{r}\check{s}}\xi_i^\beta(\tau) \right] (\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \neq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

we see that the constraints $\chi_i^\mu \approx 0$ are second class. By replacing the constraints $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ with the new ones

$$\mathcal{H}'_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + i \sum_{i=1}^N \{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_i^\nu(\tau)\} \chi_{i\nu}(\tau) \approx 0, \tag{38}$$

we have that the new constraints are first class [the first line vanishes weakly by definition of $\mathcal{H}'_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$]

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{H}'_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_i^\nu(\tau)\} &\approx 0, \\
\{\mathcal{H}'_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \mathcal{H}'_\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}')\} &\approx \left[\left(l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{r}\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - l_\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \right) \right] \frac{(\pi^{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \pi^{\check{r}}_\xi(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}} + \\
&\quad - z_{\check{u}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \gamma^{\check{u}\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \gamma^{\check{s}\check{v}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{v}\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \cdot \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}') \approx 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

with

$$\pi^{\check{r}}_\xi(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \equiv i \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \eta_i \frac{Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau) l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\check{s}\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \gamma^{\check{s}\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{s}\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) k_{i\check{r}}(\tau) k_{i\check{s}}(\tau)}}. \tag{40}$$

In the previous equation we have anticipated the fact that the time constancy of the primary constraints implies $\mu_{i\mu}(\tau) \approx \int d^3\sigma \lambda^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \{\mathcal{H}_\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_{i\mu}(\tau)\}$ and the Gauss law secondary constraint

$$\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \partial_{\check{r}} \pi^{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) Q_i(\tau) \approx 0, \tag{41}$$

Therefore, we get a consistent set of second and first class constraints. Then we can see what happens if we add by hand the following constraints (assumed to belong to the set of primary constraints of a modified Lagrangian), which generalize $p_\mu \xi^\mu \approx 0$ of the previous Section to spacelike hypersurfaces, to the set of Eqs.(34)

$$\phi_i(\tau) = (\pi_i^\mu(\tau) + \frac{i}{2}\xi_i^\mu(\tau)) \int d^3\sigma \rho_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \equiv (\pi_i^\mu(\tau) + \frac{i}{2}\xi_i^\mu(\tau))p_{s\mu} \approx 0, \quad (42)$$

with $p_s^\mu = \int d^3\sigma \rho^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$. Now one has the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \{\chi_i^\mu(\tau), \chi_j^\nu(\tau)\} &= i\eta^{\mu\nu}\delta_{ij}, \quad \{\chi_i^\mu(\tau), \phi_j(\tau)\} = 0 \\ \{\phi_i(\tau), \phi_j(\tau)\} &= -i\delta_{ij}p_s^2, \\ \{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_i^\nu(\tau)\} &= -l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \left[\frac{\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))Q_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{\gamma}\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\kappa_{is}(\tau)}} \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau))Q_j(\tau)l_\alpha\xi_j^\alpha(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_j^2 - \gamma^{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}}\kappa_{ju}(\tau)\kappa_{jv}(\tau)}} (\xi_{j\beta}(\tau)\xi_i^\beta(\tau)l^\nu - \xi_j^\nu(\tau)\xi_i^\beta(\tau)l_\beta) + \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))Q_i(\tau)}{\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\kappa_{is}(\tau)}} \pi^{\tilde{s}}(l^\nu z_{\tilde{s}\alpha}\xi_i^\alpha(\tau) - l_\alpha z_{\tilde{s}}^\nu \xi_i^\alpha(\tau)) - \\ &\quad \left. - i \frac{\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))Q_i(\tau)}{\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\kappa_{is}(\tau)}} z_{\tilde{u}}^\nu z_{\tilde{v}\beta}\gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{u}}\gamma^{\tilde{s}\tilde{v}}F_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}\xi_i^\beta(\tau) \right] (\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \\ \{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \phi_i(\tau)\} &= p_{s\nu}\{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_i^\nu(\tau)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

If we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\mu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + i \sum_{i=1}^N \{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_i^\nu(\tau)\} \chi_{i\nu}(\tau) + \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{p_s^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \phi_i(\tau)\} \phi_i(\tau) \approx 0 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{H}_\mu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_i^\nu(\tau)\} &\approx 0, \quad \{\mathcal{H}_\mu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \phi_i(\tau)\} \approx 0, \\ \{\mathcal{H}_\mu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \mathcal{H}_\nu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}')\} &\approx \left[(l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})z_{\tilde{r}\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - l_\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})z_{\tilde{r}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \right] \frac{(\pi^{\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \pi_{\tilde{r}}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}'))}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}} + \\ &\quad - z_{\tilde{u}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\gamma^{\tilde{u}\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})F_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\gamma^{\tilde{s}\tilde{v}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})z_{\tilde{v}\nu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}') \cdot \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}') \approx 0, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

with the same $\pi_\xi^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ of Eq. (40).

By introducing the Dirac Hamiltonian $[\rho_i^*(\tau) = \rho_i(\tau)$ are real odd multipliers, since $\phi_i^*(\tau) = -\phi_i(\tau)]$

$$H_D = \int d^3\sigma \left[\lambda^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - A_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N [\rho_i(\tau) \phi_i(\tau) + \mu_{i\mu}(\tau) \chi_i^\mu(\tau)], \quad (46)$$

we have that the time constancy of the primary constraints implies: i) $\mu_{i\mu}(\tau) \approx \int d^3\sigma \lambda^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \{ \mathcal{H}_\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \chi_{i\mu}(\tau) \}$; ii) $\rho_i(\tau) \approx \int d^3\sigma \lambda^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \{ \mathcal{H}_\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \phi_i(\tau) \}$; iii) $\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$; iv) no further condition is implied by the time constancy of the Gauss law constraint. Therefore, we have that the constraints $\phi_i(\tau) \approx 0$, $\chi_i^\mu(\tau) \approx 0$ are second class, while $\mathcal{H}_\mu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$, $\pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ and $\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ are first class as expected. The final Dirac Hamiltonian is

$$H_D^F = \int d^3\sigma \left[\lambda^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \mathcal{H}_\mu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - A_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \right]. \quad (47)$$

The conserved Poincaré generators are

$$p_s^\mu = \int d^3\sigma \rho^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$$

$$J^{\mu\nu} = \int d^3\sigma \left[z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \rho^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - z^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \rho^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \right] - \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\xi_i^\mu(\tau) \pi_i^\nu(\tau) - \xi_i^\nu(\tau) \pi_i^\mu(\tau) \right]. \quad (48)$$

Since p_s^μ is a constant of the motion independently from the isolated system under investigation, we have the possibility of reducing the ξ_i^μ 's from 4 to 3 for each particle independently from the interactions but at the price that the whole hypersurface Σ_τ is involved in the reduction [it weakly depends on the total 4-momentum of the isolated system by using $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$].

Since this Hamiltonian definition of spinning particles plus the electromagnetic field on spacelike hypersurfaces gives a perfectly consistent set of constraints, we can ask about the Lagrangian generating it.

In the case $N=1$ and in absence of the electromagnetic field, we have the primary constraints (besides the ones associated with the Grassmann variables for the electric charge)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \rho_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(\tau)) [l_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \eta \sqrt{m^2 - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \kappa_{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \kappa_{\tilde{s}}(\tau)} + \\
&+ \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) z_{\tilde{s}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \kappa_{\tilde{r}}(\tau)] \approx 0, \\
\chi_\mu(\tau) &= \pi_\mu(\tau) - \frac{i}{2} \xi_\mu(\tau) \approx 0, \\
\phi(\tau) &= (\pi_\mu(\tau) + \frac{i}{2} \xi_\mu(\tau)) \int d^3\sigma \rho^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

which give the Hamiltonian definition of the spinning particle with definite sign of the energy. The derived vector $p^\mu(\tau) = l^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \eta \sqrt{m^2 - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \kappa_{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \kappa_{\tilde{s}}(\tau)} + \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) z_{\tilde{s}}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \kappa_{\tilde{r}}(\tau)$ is a solution of the mass-shell constraint $p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$ with $\text{sign } p^0 = \eta$. The Dirac Hamiltonian is $H_D = \int d^3\sigma \lambda^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \mu_\mu(\tau) \chi^\mu(\tau) + \lambda(\tau) \phi(\tau)$. One has $z_\tau^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), H_D\} = -\lambda^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \lambda(\tau) i \xi^\mu(\tau)$. This equation determines $\lambda^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$.

The inverse Legendre transformation, after having used the constraints $\chi_\mu \approx 0$, $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$, to eliminate the momenta $\pi_\mu(\tau)$, $\rho_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, and the Hamilton equations $\dot{\vec{\eta}}(\tau) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\vec{\eta}(\tau), H_D\} = \lambda_\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \{l^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \eta \frac{-\gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \kappa_{\tilde{s}}(\tau)}{\sqrt{m^2 - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \kappa_{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \kappa_{\tilde{s}}(\tau)}} + \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) z_{\tilde{s}}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau))\}$ to eliminate $\vec{\kappa}(\tau)$, gives the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \dot{\xi}_\mu \pi^\mu - \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}} \kappa_{\tilde{r}} - \int d^3\sigma z_\tau^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \rho_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - H_D = \\
&= \int d^3\sigma \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \xi_\mu(\tau) \dot{\xi}^\mu(\tau) - \right. \\
&- \eta m \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2g_{\tau\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)} - \\
&- \lambda(\tau) i \xi_\mu(\tau) \eta m \frac{l^\mu \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau} - \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} g_{\tau\tilde{r}} g_{\tau\tilde{s}} + \gamma^{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} z_{\tilde{s}}^\mu (g_{\tau\tilde{r}} + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{u}} \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{u}})(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau} + 2g_{\tau\tilde{r}} \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Big\} = \\
&= \int d^3\sigma \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \xi_\mu(\tau) \dot{\xi}^\mu(\tau) - \right. \\
&- \eta m \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2g_{\tau\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)} - \\
&- \lambda(\tau) i \xi_\mu(\tau) \eta m \frac{z_\tau^\mu + z_{\tilde{r}}^\mu \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau} + 2g_{\tau\tilde{r}} \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{s}} \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \dot{\eta}^{\tilde{s}}(\tau)}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Big\},
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

By considering $\lambda(\tau)$ an independent variable, this Lagrangian generates the primary constraints $\chi^\mu(\tau) \approx 0$, $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ and $\pi_\lambda(\tau) \approx 0$ and the Dirac Hamiltonian $H_D = \lambda(\tau) \phi(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma \lambda^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \mu_\mu(\tau) \chi^\mu(\tau) + \zeta(\tau) \pi_\lambda(\tau)$. The time constancy of this last constraint generates the secondary constraint $\phi(\tau) \approx 0$ and its τ -constancy implies the

vanishing of the multiplier $\zeta(\tau)$, so that we get the desired Dirac Hamiltonian with $\lambda(\tau)$ an arbitrary gauge variable.

It is interesting to note that this Lagrangian is the reformulation on spacelike hypersurfaces (therefore with only one sign of the energy) of the manifestly covariant Lagrangian $L(\tau) = -\frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu(\tau)\dot{\xi}^\mu(\tau) - \eta m\sqrt{\dot{x}^2(\tau)} - i\lambda(\tau)\xi_\mu(\tau)\eta m\frac{\dot{x}^\mu(\tau)}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2(\tau)}}$ to be compared with Eq.(7).

With the same technique one could try to get the Lagrangian generating Eqs. (34) and (42) as primary constraints. However, we shall not do it, because the resulting Lagrangian would be very complicated (due to non-minimal couplings) and not very illuminating.

IV. THE RESTRICTION TO WIGNER'S HYPERPLANES

As shown in Ref. [8], the restriction from arbitrary hypersurfaces to hyperplanes is done by introducing the gauge-fixings

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - x_s^\mu(\tau) - b_\tau^\mu(\tau) \sigma^{\check{\tau}} \approx 0, \\ \{\zeta^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \mathcal{H}_\nu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}')\} &= -\eta_\nu^\mu \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}'),\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

and the Dirac brackets

$$\{A, B\}^* = \{A, B\} - \int d^3\sigma \{A, \zeta^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\} \{\mathcal{H}_\mu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), B\} + \int d^3\sigma \{A, \mathcal{H}_\mu^*(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\} \{\zeta^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), B\}.\tag{52}$$

The hyperplane $\Sigma_{\tau H}$ is described by 10 configuration variables: an origin $x_s^\mu(\tau)$ and the 6 independent degrees of freedom in an orthonormal tetrad $b_A^\mu(\tau)$ [$b_A^\mu \eta_{\mu\nu} b_B^\nu = \eta_{\check{A}\check{B}}$] with $b_\tau^\mu = l^\mu$, where l^μ is the τ -independent normal to the hyperplane. Now, we have $z_\tau^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \equiv b_\tau^\mu(\tau)$, $z_\tau^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \equiv \dot{x}_s^\mu(\tau) + b_\tau^\mu(\tau) \sigma^{\check{\tau}}$, $g_{\check{\tau}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \equiv -\delta_{\check{\tau}\check{s}}$, $\gamma^{\check{\tau}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \equiv -\delta^{\check{\tau}\check{s}}$, $\gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \det g_{\check{\tau}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \equiv 1$. The nonvanishing Dirac brackets of the variables x_s^μ , p_s^μ , b_A^μ , $S_s^{\mu\nu}$, $A_{\check{A}}$, $\pi^{\check{A}}$, ξ_i^μ , π_j^ν are

$$\begin{aligned}\{x_s^\mu(\tau), p_s^\nu\}^* &= -\eta^{\mu\nu}, \\ \{\eta_i^{\check{\tau}}(\tau), k_j^{\check{s}}(\tau)\}^* &= \delta_{ij} \delta^{\check{\tau}\check{s}}, \\ \{S_s^{\mu\nu}(\tau), b_A^\rho\}^* &= \eta^{\rho\nu} b_A^\mu(\tau) - \eta^{\rho\mu} b_A^\nu(\tau), \\ \{S_s^{\mu\nu}(\tau), S_s^{\alpha\beta}(\tau)\}^* &= C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S_s^{\gamma\delta}(\tau), \\ \{\xi_i^\mu(\tau), \pi_j^\nu(\tau)\}^* &= -\eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_{ij}.\end{aligned}\tag{53}$$

While p_s^μ is the momentum conjugate to x_s^μ , the 6 independent momenta conjugate to the 6 degrees of freedom in the b_A^μ 's are hidden in $S_s^{\mu\nu}$, which is a component of the angular momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned}J^{\mu\nu} &= L_s^{\mu\nu} + S_s^{\mu\nu} + S_\xi^{\mu\nu}, \\ L_s^{\mu\nu} &= x_s^\mu(\tau) p_s^\nu - x_s^\nu(\tau) p_s^\mu,\end{aligned}$$

$$S_s^{\mu\nu} = b_r^\mu(\tau) \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}} \rho^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - b_r^\nu(\tau) \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}} \rho^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}),$$

$$S_\xi^{\mu\nu} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\xi_i^\mu(\tau) \pi_i^\nu(\tau) - \xi_i^\nu(\tau) \pi_i^\mu(\tau) \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{J^{\mu\nu}, J^{\alpha\beta}\}^* &= C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} J^{\gamma\delta}, & \{L_s^{\mu\nu}, L_s^{\alpha\beta}\}^* &= C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} L_s^{\gamma\delta}, \\ \{S_s^{\mu\nu}, S_s^{\alpha\beta}\}^* &= C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S_s^{\gamma\delta}, & \{S_\xi^{\mu\nu}, S_\xi^{\alpha\beta}\}^* &= C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S_\xi^{\gamma\delta}, \\ C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} &= \eta_\gamma^\nu \eta_\delta^\alpha \eta^{\mu\beta} + \eta_\gamma^\mu \eta_\delta^\beta \eta^{\nu\alpha} - \eta_\gamma^\nu \eta_\delta^\beta \eta^{\mu\alpha} - \eta_\gamma^\mu \eta_\delta^\alpha \eta^{\nu\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Then, we eliminate the second class constraints $\chi_i^\mu \approx 0$, $\phi_i \approx 0$ with the new Dirac brackets

$$\{A, B\}_D^* = \{A, B\}^* + i \sum_{i=1}^N \{A, \chi_i^\mu(\tau)\}^* \eta_{\mu\nu} \{\chi_i^\nu(\tau), B\}^* - \frac{i}{p_s^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{A, \phi_i(\tau)\}^* \{\phi_i(\tau), B\}^*. \quad (55)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i^\mu(\tau) &\equiv \frac{i}{2} \xi_i^\mu(\tau), \\ \xi_i^\mu(\tau) p_{s\mu} &\equiv 0, \\ \Rightarrow \xi_i^\mu(\tau) &\equiv \xi_{i\perp}^\mu(\tau) \equiv \Pi^{\mu\nu} \xi_{i\nu}(\tau) = \left(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_s^\mu p_s^\nu}{p_s^2} \right) \xi_{i\nu}(\tau), \\ S_\xi^{\mu\nu} &\equiv -i \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^\mu \xi_i^\nu, \quad p_{s\mu} S_\xi^{\mu\nu} \equiv 0. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

However, now we get the following non canonical Dirac brackets on $\Sigma_{\tau H}$

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_s^\mu(\tau), x_s^\nu(\tau)\}_D^* &= -i \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{p_s^2} \equiv \frac{S_\xi^{\mu\nu}(\tau)}{p_s^2}, \\ \{x_s^\mu(\tau), \xi_i^\nu(\tau)\}_D^* &= \frac{\xi_i^\mu(\tau) p_s^\nu}{p_s^2}, \\ \{\xi_i^\mu(\tau), \xi_j^\nu(\tau)\}_D^* &= i \left(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_s^\mu p_s^\nu}{p_s^2} \right) \delta_{ij} \equiv i \Pi^{\mu\nu} \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

In this way, we have eliminated the components of ξ_i^μ parallel to p_s^μ in a Lorentz-invariant way as in Eq.(4) and in the Hamiltonian theory associated with Eq.(7). The spin of each particle is described only by 3 Grassmann variables and the spin tensor $S_\xi^{\mu\nu}$ satisfies a Weyssenhoff condition. The angular momentum tensor becomes

$$J^{\mu\nu} = L_s^{\mu\nu} + S_s^{\mu\nu} + S_\xi^{\mu\nu},$$

$$S_\xi^{\mu\nu} = -i \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau),$$

$$\{J^{\mu\nu}, J^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = \{J^{\mu\nu}, J^{\alpha\beta}\}^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} J^{\gamma\delta},$$

$$\{L_s^{\mu\nu}, L_s^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} L_s^{\gamma\delta} - P_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S_\xi^{\gamma\delta},$$

$$\{S_\xi^{\mu\nu}, S_\xi^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S_\xi^{\gamma\delta} - P_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S_\xi^{\gamma\delta},$$

$$\{L_s^{\mu\nu}, S_\xi^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = P_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S_\xi^{\gamma\delta},$$

$$\{L_s^{\mu\nu} + S_\xi^{\mu\nu}, L_s^{\alpha\beta} + S_\xi^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} (L_s^{\gamma\delta} + S_\xi^{\gamma\delta}),$$

$$P_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{p_s^\mu p_s^\beta}{p_s^2} \eta_\gamma^\nu \eta_\delta^\alpha + \frac{p_s^\nu p_s^\alpha}{p_s^2} \eta_\gamma^\mu \eta_\delta^\beta - \frac{p_s^\mu p_s^\alpha}{p_s^2} \eta_\gamma^\nu \eta_\delta^\beta - \frac{p_s^\nu p_s^\beta}{p_s^2} \eta_\gamma^\mu \eta_\delta^\alpha. \quad (58)$$

Since by asking the time constancy of the gauge fixings (51) we get [8] $\lambda^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau) + \tilde{\lambda}^\mu{}_\nu(\tau) b_\tau^\nu(\tau) \sigma^{\tilde{r}}$, $\tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau) = -\dot{x}_s^\mu(\tau)$, $\tilde{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = -\tilde{\lambda}^{\nu\mu}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tilde{r}} [\dot{b}_\tau^\mu b_\tau^\nu - b_\tau^\mu \dot{b}_\tau^\nu](\tau)$, the Dirac Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_D^F = \tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau) \tilde{H}_\mu(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) \tilde{H}_{\mu\nu}(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma \left[-A_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \right] \quad (59)$$

and we are left with only 12 first class constraints

$$\pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0,$$

$$\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \partial_{\tilde{r}} \pi^{\tilde{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) Q_i(\tau) \approx 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\mu(\tau) &= \int d^3\sigma \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = p_{s\mu} - b_{\mu\tau} \left\{ \int d^3\sigma \left(\frac{\vec{\pi}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{2} \right) + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)) \cdot \\ &\cdot \frac{\eta_i \eta_j Q_i(\tau) Q_j(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)} \sqrt{m_j^2 + \vec{k}_j^2(\tau)}} b_{\tau\mu} \eta_{\nu\beta} \xi_j^\alpha(\tau) \xi_j^\beta(\tau) b_{\tau\alpha} \Big] + \\ &+ i \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \frac{Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)}} b_{\tau\mu} b_{s\nu}(\tau) \pi^{\tilde{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \frac{Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)}} b_{\check{u}\mu}(\tau) b_{\check{v}\nu}(\tau) F_{\check{u}\check{v}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \Big\} + \\
& + b_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau) \Big\{ \int d^3\sigma [\vec{\pi} \wedge \vec{B}]_{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N [k_{i\check{r}}(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) A_{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))] \Big\} \approx 0, \\
\tilde{H}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) &= b_{\check{r}}^\mu(\tau) \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}} \mathcal{H}^\nu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - b_{\check{r}}^\nu(\tau) \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}} \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= S_s^{\mu\nu}(\tau) - (b_{\check{r}}^\mu(\tau) b_{\check{r}}^\nu(\tau) - b_{\check{r}}^\nu(\tau) b_{\check{r}}^\mu(\tau)) \Big\{ \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}} \frac{\vec{\pi}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{2} + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)) \cdot \\
&\cdot \frac{\eta_i \eta_j Q_i(\tau) Q_j(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)} \sqrt{m_j^2 + \vec{k}_j^2(\tau)}} b_{\tau\mu} \eta_{\nu\beta} \xi_j^\alpha(\tau) \xi_j^\beta(\tau) b_{\tau\alpha} + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}} \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2} + \\
&+ i \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) \eta_i \frac{Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)}} b_{\tau\mu} b_{s\nu}(\tau) \pi^{\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \\
&- \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) \eta_i \frac{Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^\mu(\tau) \xi_i^\nu(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)}} b_{\check{u}\mu}(\tau) b_{\check{v}\nu}(\tau) F_{\check{u}\check{v}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \Big\} + \\
&+ (b_{\check{r}}^\mu(\tau) b_{\check{s}}^\nu(\tau) - b_{\check{r}}^\nu(\tau) b_{\check{s}}^\mu(\tau)) \Big\{ \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}} [\vec{\pi} \wedge \vec{B}]_{\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) [k_{i\check{s}}(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) A_{\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))] \Big\} \approx 0. \tag{60}
\end{aligned}$$

The next step [8] is to select all the configurations of the isolated system which are timelike, namely with $p_s^2 > 0$. For them we can boost at rest with the standard Wigner boost $L_\nu^\mu(\vec{p}_s, p_s)$ for timelike Poincaré orbits all the variables of the noncanonical basis $x_s^\mu(\tau)$, p_s^μ , $b_{\check{A}}^\mu(\tau)$, $S_s^{\mu\nu}(\tau)$, $A_{\check{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, $\pi^{\check{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$, $\vec{k}_i(\tau)$, $\theta_i(\tau)$, $\theta_i^*(\tau)$, $\xi_i^\mu(\tau)$ with Lorentz indices (except p_s^μ). This is a canonical transformation generated by $e^{\{ \dots \mathcal{F}(\tau) \}}$ with generating function [see Appendix A of Ref. [13] for a similar transformation]

$$\mathcal{F}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \omega(p_s) I_{\mu\nu}(p_s) S_s^{\mu\nu}(\tau),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I(p) &\equiv \| I(p)_{\cdot\nu}^\mu \| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{p_i}{|\vec{p}|} \\ \frac{p_i}{|\vec{p}|} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
I_{\mu\nu}(p) &= -I_{\nu\mu}(p), & I^3(p) &= I(p),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\cosh \omega(p) &= \frac{\eta p_0}{\sqrt{p^2}}, & \sinh \omega(p) &= \eta \frac{|\vec{p}|}{\sqrt{p^2}}, \\
L_{,\nu}^\mu(p, \overset{\circ}{p}) &= \exp [\omega(p) I(p)]_{,\nu}^\mu = \\
&= [\cosh (\omega(p) I(p)) + \sinh (\omega(p) I(p))]_{,\nu}^\mu = \\
&= [\mathbb{1} - I^2(p) + I^2(p) \cosh \omega(p) + I(p) \sinh \omega(p)]_{,\nu}^\mu, \\
L_{,\nu}^\mu(\overset{\circ}{p}, p) &= \exp [-\omega(p) I(p)]_{,\nu}^\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Since we have $\xi_i^\mu p_{s\mu} = 0$, we get $I_{\mu\nu}(p_s) S_\xi^{\mu\nu} = 0$, so that the addition of $S_\xi^{\mu\nu}$ to $S_s^{\mu\nu}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is irrelevant.

The new noncanonical basis (with the same Dirac brackets) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}_s^\mu &= x_s^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\nu(u(p_s)) \eta_{AB} \frac{\partial \epsilon_\rho^B(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}} S_s^{\nu\rho} = \\
&= x_s^\mu - \frac{1}{\eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} (p_s^0 + \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2})} \left[p_{s\nu} S_s^{\nu\mu} + \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} \left(S_s^{0\mu} - S_s^{0\nu} \frac{p_{s\nu} p_s^\mu}{p_s^2} \right) \right] = \\
&= x_s^\mu - \frac{1}{\eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}} \left[\eta_A^\mu \left(\bar{S}_s^{\bar{0}A} - \frac{\bar{S}_s^{\bar{A}r} p_s^r}{p_s^0 + \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}} \right) + \frac{p_s^\mu + 2\eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} \eta^{\mu 0}}{\eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} (p_s^0 + \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2})} \bar{S}_s^{\bar{0}r} p_s^r \right] \\
p_s^\mu &= p_s^\mu, \quad \eta_i^\mu = \eta_i^\mu, \quad k_i^\mu = k_i^\mu, \quad A_{\check{A}} = A_{\check{A}}, \quad \pi^{\check{A}} = \pi^{\check{A}} \\
\xi_i^\mu &= \xi_i^\mu, \quad \theta_i^* = \theta_i^*, \quad \theta_i = \theta_i \\
b_{\check{B}}^A &= \epsilon_\mu^A(u(p_s)) b_{\check{B}}^\mu \\
\tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu} &= S_s^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\rho^A(u(p_s)) \eta_{AB} \left[\frac{\partial \epsilon_\sigma^B(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}} p_s^\nu - \frac{\partial \epsilon_\sigma^B(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\nu}} p_s^\mu \right] S_s^{\rho\sigma} = \\
&= S_s^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} (p_s^0 + \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2})} \left[p_{s\beta} (S_s^{\beta\mu} p_s^\nu - S_s^{\beta\nu} p_s^\mu) + \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} (S_s^{0\mu} p_s^\nu - S_s^{0\nu} p_s^\mu) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where $u^\mu(p_s) = p_s^\mu / \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} = L_o^\mu(\overset{\circ}{p}_s, p_s) [\eta_s = \pm 1]$.

For later use, let us introduce the spin tensors

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}_s^{AB} &= \epsilon_\mu^A(u(p_s)) \epsilon_\nu^B(u(p_s)) S_s^{\mu\nu}, \\
\bar{S}_\xi^{AB} &= \epsilon_\mu^A(u(p_s)) \epsilon_\nu^B(u(p_s)) S_\xi^{\mu\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Since $\xi_{i\mu} p_s^\mu \equiv 0$ implies $\xi_{i\tau} = \xi_{i\mu} u^\mu(p_s) = \xi_{i\mu} L_o^\mu(\overset{\circ}{p}_s, p_s) \equiv 0$, we can reduce to 3 for each particle the Grassmann variables describing spin

$$\xi_i^r(\tau) \equiv \epsilon_\mu^r(u(p_s))\xi_i^\mu(\tau) \quad r = 1, 2, 3. \quad (64)$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_\xi^{\bar{o}B} &= 0, \\ \bar{S}_\xi^{rs} &= -i \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_\mu^r(u(p_s))\epsilon_\nu^s(u(p_s))\xi_i^\mu\xi_i^\nu = -i \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^r\xi_i^s, \\ \bar{S}_\xi^r &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ruv}\bar{S}_\xi^{uv} = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{S}_{i\xi}^r, \\ \bar{S}_{i\xi}^r &= -\frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{ruv}\xi_i^u\xi_i^v. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

The $\xi_i^r(\tau)$'s satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi_i^r, \xi_j^s\}_D^* &= -i\delta^{rs}\delta_{ij}, \\ \{\tilde{x}_s^\mu, \xi_i^r\}_D^* &= -\frac{\partial\epsilon_\nu^r(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}}\xi_i^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

If we define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_s^\mu &\equiv \tilde{x}_s^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_\nu^A(u(p_s))\eta_{AB}\frac{\partial\epsilon_\rho^B(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}}S_\xi^{\nu\rho} = \\ &= x_s^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_\nu^A(u(p_s))\eta_{AB}\frac{\partial\epsilon_\rho^B(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}}(S_s^{\nu\rho} + S_\xi^{\nu\rho}), \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{x}_s^\mu, p_s^\nu\}_D^* &= -\eta^{\mu\nu}, \\ \{\hat{x}_s^\mu, \xi_i^r\}_D^* &= 0, \\ \{\hat{x}_s^\mu, \hat{x}_s^\nu\}_D^* &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Therefore, with respect to the Dirac brackets $\{.,.\}_D^*$ we have obtained a basis in which $\hat{x}_s^\mu(\tau)$, p_s^μ , $A_{\vec{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, $\pi^{\vec{A}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$, $\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau)$, $\xi_i^{\vec{r}}(\tau)$, $\theta_i(\tau)$, $\theta_i^*(\tau)$, are canonical variables and only $b_{\vec{A}}^\mu(\tau)$, $S_s^{\mu\nu}(\tau)$, are not canonical. The new canonical origin $\hat{x}_s^\mu$ of the hyperplane has the same noncovariance of the Newton-Wigner position operator. In terms of this variable we get

$$J^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{x}_s^\mu p_s^\nu - \tilde{x}_s^\nu p_s^\mu + \tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu} + S_\xi^{\mu\nu} = \hat{L}_s^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{S}_\xi^{\mu\nu},$$

$$\hat{L}_s^{\mu\nu} = \hat{x}_s^\mu p_s^\nu - \hat{x}_s^\nu p_s^\mu,$$

$$\tilde{S}_\xi^{\mu\nu} = S_\xi^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_\rho^A(u(p_s))\eta_{AB}\left[\frac{\partial\epsilon_\sigma^B(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}}p_s^\nu - \frac{\partial\epsilon_\sigma^B(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\nu}}p_s^\mu\right]S_\xi^{\rho\sigma},$$

$$\{\hat{L}_s^{\mu\nu}, \hat{L}_s^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\hat{L}_s^{\gamma\delta},$$

$$\{\tilde{S}_\xi^{\mu\nu}, \tilde{S}_\xi^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\tilde{S}_\xi^{\gamma\delta},$$

$$\{\tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu}, \tilde{S}_s^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\tilde{S}_s^{\gamma\delta},$$

$$\{\tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu}, \tilde{S}_\xi^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = \{\tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu}, \hat{L}_s^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = \{\tilde{S}_\xi^{\mu\nu}, \hat{L}_s^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = 0,$$

$$\{J^{\mu\nu}, J^{\alpha\beta}\}_D^* = C_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}J^{\gamma\delta}. \quad (69)$$

As shown in Ref. [8], we can restrict ourselves to the Wigner hyperplanes $\Sigma_{\tau W}$ with $l^\mu = u^\mu(p_s)$ [i.e. orthogonal to p_s^μ] with the gauge-fixings

$$\begin{aligned} T_A^\mu(\tau) &= b_A^\mu(\tau) - \epsilon_{A=\check{A}}^\mu(u(p_s)) \approx 0 \\ \Rightarrow b_A^A(\tau) &= \epsilon_\mu^A(u(p_s))b_A^\mu(\tau) \approx \eta_A^A, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

which imply the new Dirac brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{A, B\}_D^{**} &= \{A, B\}_D^* - \frac{1}{4}\left[\{A, \tilde{H}^{\gamma\delta}\}_D^* \left(\eta_{\gamma\sigma}\epsilon_\gamma^D(u(p_s)) - \eta_{\delta\sigma}\epsilon_\gamma^D(u(p_s))\right)\{T_D^\sigma, B\}_D^* + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \{A, T_D^\sigma\}_D^* \left(\eta_{\sigma\nu}\epsilon_\mu^B(u(p_s)) - \eta_{\sigma\mu}\epsilon_\nu^B(u(p_s))\right)\{\tilde{H}^{\mu\nu}, B\}_D^*\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The gauge-fixings (70) imply $\tilde{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) \approx 0$ [their time constancy], $b_A^\mu(\tau) \equiv L^\mu_A(p_s, \overset{\circ}{p}_s)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) \equiv 0$ [namely the determination of $S_s^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of the variables of the system]. The remaining variables form a canonical basis

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{x}_s^\mu(\tau), p_s^\nu\}_D^{**} &= -\eta^{\mu\nu}, \\ \{\eta_i^r(\tau), k_j^s(\tau)\}_D^{**} &= \delta_{ij}\delta^{rs}, \\ \{\xi_i^r(\tau), \xi_j^s(\tau)\}_D^{**} &= -i\delta^{rs}\delta_{ij}, \\ \{A_A(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \pi^B(\tau, \vec{\sigma}')\}_D^{**} &= \eta_A^B\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}'). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

As shown in Ref. [8], the dependence of the gauge-fixing (70) on p_s^μ implies that the Lorentz-scalar indices $\tilde{A}$ become Wigner indices A: i) $A_{A=\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ is a Lorentz-scalar field; ii) $A_{A=r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, $\xi_i^r(\tau)$, $\eta_i^r(\tau)$, $\kappa_{ir}(\tau)$, are Wigner spin 1 3-vectors which transform with Wigner rotations under the action of Minkowski Lorentz boosts.

On $\Sigma_{\tau W}$ the Poincaré generators are

$$\begin{aligned} p_s^\mu, \quad J_s^{\mu\nu} &= \hat{x}_s^\mu p_s^\nu - \hat{x}_s^\nu p_s^\mu + \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}, \\ \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu} &\equiv \tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{S}_\xi^{\mu\nu}, \\ \tilde{S}^{0i} &= -\frac{\delta^{ir} \tilde{S}^{rs} p_s^s}{p_s^0 + \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}}, \quad \tilde{S}^{ij} = \delta^{ir} \delta^{js} \tilde{S}^{rs}, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

because one can express $\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of $\bar{S}^{AB} = \epsilon_\mu^A(u(p_s)) \epsilon_\nu^B(u(p_s)) S^{\mu\nu}$.

Since $\tilde{H}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) \equiv 0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} S_s^{\mu\nu} &= \left(\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) u^\nu(p_s) - \epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) u^\mu(p_s) \right) \left\{ \int d^3\sigma \sigma^r \frac{(\vec{\pi}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{2} + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^r(\tau) \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - iQ_i(\tau) \xi_i^u(\tau) \xi_i^v(\tau) F_{uv}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i) + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)]^2} \Big\} + \\ &- \left(\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) \epsilon_s^\nu(u(p_s)) - \epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) \epsilon_s^\mu(u(p_s)) \right) \left\{ \int d^3\sigma \sigma^r (\vec{\pi} \wedge \vec{B})_s(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^r(\tau) (k_{is}(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) A_s(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)) \Big\}, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_s^{AB} &= (\delta_r^A \delta_o^B - \delta_r^B \delta_o^A) \left[\int d^3\sigma \sigma^r \frac{(\vec{\pi}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{2} + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^r(\tau) \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - iQ_i(\tau) \xi_i^u(\tau) \xi_i^v(\tau) F_{uv}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i) + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)]^2} \Big] + \\ &- (\delta_r^A \delta_s^B - \delta_r^B \delta_s^A) \left[\int d^3\sigma \sigma^r (\vec{\pi} \wedge \vec{B})_s(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^r(\tau) (k_{is}(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) A_s(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)) \Big]. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

However, $\bar{S}_s^{or}$ does not contribute to the previous realization of the Poincaré generators, which defines the rest-frame Wigner-covariant instant form of dynamics.

The original variables $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, $\rho_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, are reduced only to $\hat{x}_s^\mu$, p_s^μ on the Wigner hyperplane $\Sigma_{\tau W}$. On it only 6 first class constraints survive

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\approx 0, \\ \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \partial_r \pi^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \approx 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}^\mu(\tau) &= p_s^\mu - [u^\mu(p_s) H_{rel}(\tau) + \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) H_{pr}(\tau)] = \\ &= u^\mu(p_s) H(\tau) + \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) H_{pr}(\tau) \approx 0,\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}H(\tau) &= \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} - H_{rel}(\tau) = \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} - \left[\int d^3\sigma \frac{(\vec{\pi}^2 + \vec{B}^2)}{2}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \cdot \sqrt{m_i^2 - i Q_i(\tau) \xi_i^r(\tau) \xi_i^s(\tau) F_{rs}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i) + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)]^2} \right] \approx 0, \\ H_{pr}(\tau) &= \int d^3\sigma [\vec{\pi} \wedge \vec{B}]_r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N [k_{ir}(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) A_r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)] \approx 0, \\ \{\tilde{H}^\mu(\tau), \tilde{H}^\nu(\tau)\}_D^{**} &= \int d^3\sigma \left\{ [u^\mu(p_s) \epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) - u^\nu(p_s) \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s))] \pi^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \right. \\ &- \left. \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) F_{rs}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \epsilon_s^\nu(u(p_s)) \right\} \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0.\end{aligned}\tag{76}$$

Let us remark that on $\Sigma_{\tau W}$ in $H(\tau) \approx 0$ the “spin-spin” and “spin-electric field” interactions have disappeared [also the quantity $\pi_\xi^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ vanishes]. There is only the “spin-magnetic field” interaction

$$-i Q_i \xi_i^r \xi_i^s F_{rs}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i) = -2 Q_i \vec{S}_{i\xi} \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i), \quad \vec{S}_{i\xi} \equiv -\frac{i}{2} \vec{\xi}_i \times \vec{\xi}_i,\tag{77}$$

like in the nonrelativistic Pauli equation [35].

Therefore, we get a kind of “relativistic Pauli Hamiltonian” describing the interaction of a massive spinning particle belonging to the $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ representation with the electromagnetic

field, whose nonrelativistic limit is the pseudoclassical form of the ordinary Pauli Hamiltonian.

The constraints $\vec{H}_p(\tau) \approx 0$ identify the Wigner hyperplane $\Sigma_{\tau W}$ with the intrinsic rest frame (vanishing of the total Wigner spin 1-3-momentum of the isolated system) and say that the 3-coordinate $\vec{\sigma} = \vec{\eta}_{+ system}$ of the center of mass of the isolated system on $\Sigma_{\tau W}$ is a gauge variable, whose natural gauge-fixing is $\vec{\eta}_{+ system} \approx 0$ [so that it coincides with the origin of $\Sigma_{\tau W}$: $x_s^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma} = 0)$].

On $\Sigma_{\tau W}$ the Dirac Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_D = \lambda(\tau)H(\tau) - \vec{\lambda}(\tau) \cdot \vec{H}_p(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma \left[-A_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\pi^\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \right], \quad (78)$$

so that $\hat{x}_s^\mu$ has a velocity parallel to p_s^μ , namely it has no zitterbewegung as it happens to the Foldy-Wouthuysen mean position (see Section II).

The nonrelativistic limit of H_{rel} of Eqs.(76), disregarding the kinetic term of the electromagnetic field due to the ambiguities in defining Galilean electromagnetism (see the two independent ‘electric’ and ‘magnetic’ limits of Ref. [43]), is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{rel} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i c \sqrt{m_i^2 c^2 - 2 \frac{Q_i}{c} \vec{S}_{i\xi} \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + [\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c} \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2} + .. = \\ &\rightarrow_{c \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i m_i c^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{1}{2m_i} [\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c} \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2 - \frac{Q_i}{m_i c} \vec{S}_{i\xi} \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \right\} + .., \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) &\approx 0. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Therefore we recover the classical basis of the nonrelativistic Pauli theory in the center of mass frame, with the same properties under parity (see for instance Ref. [35], p.97). See Ref. [25] for an approach to this theory with Grassmann variables (the fibered spin structure) and first class constraints, generating by quantization the Pauli theory in the form of the Levy-Leblond nonrelativistic spin equation [24].

V. DIRAC'S OBSERVABLES AND EQUATIONS OF MOTION.

As shown in Ref. [2], the Dirac observables of the electromagnetic field are the transverse quantities $\vec{A}_{\perp r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, $\vec{\pi}_{\perp}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, defined by the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} A_r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \partial_r \eta(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + A_{\perp r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \\ \pi^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \pi_{\perp}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \frac{\partial^r}{\Delta_{\sigma}} \left[\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i(\tau) \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \right], \\ \eta(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\Delta_{\sigma}} \cdot \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

while the gauge variables are $A_{\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and $\eta(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, being conjugated to the first class constraints $\pi^{\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$, $\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$.

Concerning the particle variables, we have that $k_i^r(\tau)$, $\theta_i(\tau)$, $\theta_i^*(\tau)$, are not gauge invariant because

$$\begin{aligned} \{k_i^r(\tau), \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\}_D^{**} &= Q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^r} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \\ \{\theta_i(\tau), \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\}_D^{**} &= ie_i \theta_i(\tau) \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \\ \{\theta_i^*(\tau), \Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\}_D^{**} &= -ie_i \theta_i^*(\tau) \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Instead, the position variables $\eta_i^r(\tau)$ and the spin variables $\xi_i^r(\tau)$ are gauge invariant. The Dirac observables for the particles are obtained through a dressing with a Coulomb cloud

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\theta}_i(\tau) &= e^{ie_i \eta(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)} \theta_i(\tau), \\ \check{\theta}_i^*(\tau) &= e^{-ie_i \eta(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)} \theta_i^*(\tau), \\ \check{\vec{k}}_i(\tau) &= \vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i(\tau) \vec{\partial} \eta(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Rightarrow \check{\vec{k}}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_{\perp}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i) = \vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i). \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

The electric charges are gauge invariant

$$\check{Q}_i = e_i \check{\theta}_i^*(\tau) \check{\theta}_i(\tau) = Q_i = e_i \theta_i^*(\tau) \theta_i(\tau) \quad [\dot{Q}_i(\tau) = 0 \Rightarrow Q_i(\tau) \equiv Q_i]. \quad (83)$$

When the Gauss law is satisfied, $\Gamma(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = 0$, Eq.(80) implies

$$\int d^3\sigma \vec{\pi}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \int d^3\sigma \vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{1 \dots N} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{4\pi |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|}, \quad (84)$$

so that we get

$$\begin{aligned} H(\tau) = & \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} - \left\{ \int d^3\sigma \frac{(\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{2} + \sum_{i \neq j}^{1 \dots N} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{4\pi |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|} + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - iQ_i \xi_i^r(\tau) \xi_i^s(\tau) F_{rs}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i) + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)]^2} \right\} \approx 0. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

We see [8] the emergence of the Coulomb potential from field theory and the regularization of the Coulomb self-energy (the $\sum_{i \neq j}$ rule) due to the Grassmann character of the electric charges, $Q_i^2 = 0$. In this way all the effects of order Q_i^2 are eliminated, but not those of order $Q_i Q_j$, $i \neq j$ [9]. This means the elimination of all the effects connected with pair production, consistently with the description of only one branch of the mass spectrum of the N-body system (all particles have only one sign of the energy).

There is no odd first class constraint, because massive 2-spinors do not satisfy any spinor equation. The quantization of this Hamiltonian in the free case gives a nonlocal Schroedinger equation with the kinetic square root operator [18] for a 2-spinor, which corresponds to the upper (or lower) part of positive (or negative) energy Dirac spinors boosted at rest [so that they also coincide with the corresponding parts of the positive (or negative) energy Foldy-Wouthuysen spinors boosted at rest; see the Appendix]. These 2-spinors are parity eigenstates in the rest frame. All these facts shows the similarities and the differences of this rest-frame representation from the Chakrabarti one discussed in Section II.

The three constraints defining the rest frame become

$$\vec{H}_p(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{k}_i(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \wedge \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0, \quad (86)$$

and are independent from the interactions as expected in an instant form of dynamics, like the expression for the spin [9] implied by Eq.(75)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_s^{rs} = & \sum_{i=1}^N [\eta_i^r(\tau) \check{\kappa}_i^s(\tau) - \eta_i^s(\tau) \check{\kappa}_i^r(\tau)] + \int d^3\sigma [\sigma^r (\vec{\pi}_\perp \times \vec{B})^s - \sigma^s (\vec{\pi}_\perp \times \vec{B})^r](\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \\ \bar{S}^{rs} = & \bar{S}_s^{rs} + \bar{S}_\xi^{rs} = \bar{S}_s^{rs} - i \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^r \xi_i^s. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

The Pauli-Lubanski 4-vector and the spin Poincaré Casimir are [$\epsilon_s = \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}$]

$$\begin{aligned}
W_s^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{s\nu} J_{s\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{s\nu} \tilde{S}_{\rho\sigma} = \\
&= (\vec{p}_s \cdot \vec{\tilde{S}}; \epsilon_s \vec{\tilde{S}} + \frac{\vec{p}_s \cdot \vec{\tilde{S}}}{p_s^0 + \epsilon_s} \vec{p}_s) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{s\nu} (\tilde{S}_{s\rho\sigma} + \tilde{S}_{\xi\rho\sigma}) \stackrel{def}{=} W_s^{(L)\mu} + \Sigma_s^\mu, \\
W_s^2 &= -\frac{1}{2} p_s^2 \tilde{S}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu} = -p_s^2 \vec{\tilde{S}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

This shows that $\vec{\tilde{S}} = \vec{\tilde{S}}_s + \vec{\tilde{S}}_\xi$ is the rest-frame Thomas spin: $W_{s\mu}(p_s) = W_{s\nu} L^\nu{}_\mu(p_s; \overset{\circ}{p}_s) = (0; \epsilon_s \vec{\tilde{S}})$.

As shown in Ref. [8], it is possible to separate the relative variables from the center-of-mass ones and from the invariant mass $\pm \sqrt{p_s^2}$ with the following canonical transformation [T_s is the Lorentz-scalar time of the rest frame]

$$\begin{aligned}
T_s &= \frac{p_s^\mu x_{\mu s}}{\eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}}, & \epsilon_s &= \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}, \\
\vec{z}_s &= \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2} \left(\vec{\hat{x}}_s - \frac{\vec{p}_s}{p_s^0} \hat{x}_s^0 \right), & \vec{k}_s &= \frac{\vec{p}_s}{\eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}}, \\
\vec{\eta}_+ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\eta}_i, & \vec{\kappa}_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i, \\
\vec{\rho}_a &= \sqrt{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\eta}_i, & \vec{\pi}_a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\kappa}_i, \\
a &= 1, \dots, N-1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} = \delta_{ab}, \quad \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \hat{\gamma}_{aj} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{N}. \tag{89}$$

The inverse canonical transformation is

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{x}_s^0 &= \sqrt{1 - \vec{k}_s^2} \left(T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s} \right), \\
\vec{\hat{x}}_s &= \frac{\vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s} + \left(T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s} \right) \vec{k}_s, \\
p_s^0 &= \epsilon_s \sqrt{1 + \vec{k}_s^2}, \\
\vec{p}_s &= \epsilon_s \vec{k}_s,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\eta}_i &= \vec{\eta}_+ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_a \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\rho}_a, \\
\check{\vec{\kappa}}_i &= \frac{1}{N} \check{\vec{\kappa}}_+ + \sqrt{N} \sum_a \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \check{\vec{\pi}}_a.
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

The constraints (86) take the form

$$\vec{H}(\tau) = \check{\vec{k}}_+(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \wedge \vec{B}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0. \tag{91}$$

where the second term is the total electromagnetic 3-momentum, while the spin tensor may be written as $\vec{S}_s^{rs} \approx \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} [\rho_a^r(\tau) \check{\pi}_a^s(\tau) - \rho_a^s(\tau) \check{\pi}_a^r(\tau)] + \int d^3\sigma [(\sigma^r - \eta_+^r)(\vec{\pi}_\perp \times \vec{B})^s - (\sigma^s - \eta_+^s)(\vec{\pi}_\perp \times \vec{B})^r](\tau, \vec{\sigma})$.

In absence of the electromagnetic field the natural gauge fixing to $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$ is $\vec{\eta}_+ \approx 0$. As said in Ref. [8], this construction suggests the existence of a decomposition in center-of-mass and relative variables also of the electromagnetic field [see Ref. [15] for a solution of this problem for Klein-Gordon fields]. When it will be available, one will be able to find the natural gauge fixing to be associated with the constraints (91) and to express $H(\tau) \approx 0$ only in terms of relative variables. For the time being, this constraint can be put in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
H(\tau) &= \epsilon_s - H_{rel} = \\
&= \epsilon_s - \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \left[m_i^2 + 2Q_i \vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\rho}_a(\tau)) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{N} \check{\vec{k}}_+(\tau) + \sqrt{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \check{\vec{\pi}}_a - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\rho}_a(\tau)) \right) \right]^{1/2} + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{1 \dots N} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{4\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (\hat{\gamma}_{ai} - \hat{\gamma}_{aj}) \vec{\rho}_a(\tau) \right|^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\sigma (\vec{\pi}_\perp^2 + \vec{B}^2)(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0 \tag{92}
\end{aligned}$$

We see that by putting $\vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = 0$ by hand as second class constraints, one gets a subspace of the reduced phase space describing N spinning particles with a mutual Coulomb interaction.

By adding the gauge-fixing $T_s - \tau \approx 0$ [which identifies the rest-frame time T_s with the parameter τ of the foliation of Minkowski spacetime with the Wigner hyperplanes associated with the isolated system], whose time constancy implies $\lambda(\tau) = -1$, we get the Dirac Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_D = H_{rel}(\tau) - \vec{\lambda}(\tau) \cdot \vec{H}_p(\tau), \quad (93)$$

where [the nonrelativistic limit would give Pauli theory in the center of mass frame and in the Coulomb gauge for the electromagnetic field]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{rel}(\tau) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - iQ_i \xi_i^r(\tau) \xi_i^s(\tau) F_{rs}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2} + \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j}^{1 \dots N} Q_i Q_j \frac{1}{4\pi |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|} + \int d^3\sigma \left(\frac{\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{2} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - 2Q_i \vec{S}_{i\xi} \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i) + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i)]^2} + \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j}^{1 \dots N} Q_i Q_j \frac{1}{4\pi |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|} + \int d^3\sigma \frac{(\vec{\pi}_\perp^2 + \vec{B}^2)}{2}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

Therefore, we have a free point $\vec{z}_s$, $\vec{k}_s$, decoupled from the system describing the canonical noncovariant origin of the Wigner hyperplane plus a description of the isolated system in terms of relative variables [but it is more convenient to work with the particle positions $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$].

We get the following Hamilton equations [as in Ref. [9] it is convenient to write $\vec{\lambda}(\tau) = \dot{\vec{g}}(\tau)$; $\partial_{\eta_i}^r \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{ir}}$; $P_\perp^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) = \delta^{rs} + \partial^r \partial^s / \Delta$, $\Delta = -\partial^2$]

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) + \dot{\vec{g}}(\tau) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \eta_i \frac{[\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]}{\sqrt{m_i^2 - 2Q_i \vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2}} = \\ &= \eta_i \left[\frac{\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{Q_i \vec{k}_i(\tau) (\vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)))}{[m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)]^{3/2}} \right], \\ \dot{\vec{k}}_i(\tau) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{Q_i Q_j}{4\pi |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|^2} \frac{\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)}{|\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|} + \\ &\quad + [\dot{\eta}_i^r(\tau) + \dot{g}^r(\tau)] Q_i \vec{\partial}_{\eta_i} A_\perp^r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \eta_i \frac{Q_i \vec{\partial}_{\eta_i} [\vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)}}, \\ \dot{\xi}_i^r(\tau) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \eta_i \frac{Q_i \xi_i^s(\tau) F_{sr}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{m_i^2 - 2Q_i \vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + [\vec{k}_i(\tau) - Q_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2}} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \eta_i \frac{Q_i \xi_i^s(\tau) F_{sr}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}}, \\
\dot{\vec{S}}_{i\xi}^r(\tau) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \eta_i \frac{Q_i \epsilon^{rst} F^{ut}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) i \xi_i^u(\tau) \xi_i^s(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} = \\
&= \eta_i \frac{Q_i [\vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \times \vec{\vec{S}}_{i\xi}(\tau)]^r}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}}, \\
\dot{\theta}_i(\tau) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} i \eta_i e_i \check{\theta}_i(\tau) \frac{\vec{\vec{S}}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) \cdot \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} - \\
&- 2ie_i \check{\theta}_i(\tau) \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{Q_j}{|\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|}, \\
\dot{\vec{Q}}_i &\stackrel{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{A}_{\perp r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} -\pi_{\perp r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - [\dot{\vec{g}}(\tau) \cdot \vec{\partial}] A_{\perp r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \\
\dot{\pi}_\perp^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \Delta_\sigma A_\perp^r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) - [\dot{\vec{g}}(\tau) \cdot \vec{\partial}] \pi_\perp^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i [\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau) + \dot{g}^s(\tau)] P_\perp^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \frac{i Q_i \xi_i^u(\tau) \xi_i^s(\tau) P_\perp^{rs}(\vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)}} \partial_u \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)),
\end{aligned}$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{d\vec{g}(\tau)}{d\tau} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\sigma}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\sigma}}\right)^2) A_\perp^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \\
&\stackrel{\circ}{=} P_\perp^{rs}(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{g}(\tau)) \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \left[[\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau) + \dot{g}^s(\tau)] \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) - \right. \\
&\left. - i \eta_i \frac{\xi_i^u(\tau) \xi_i^s(\tau) \partial_u}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)}} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \right]. \tag{95}
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation shows that, besides the standard term for scalar particles [9], the nonlocal (due to the projector) particle current contains also a dipole term $P_\perp^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \frac{(\vec{\vec{S}}_{i\xi} \times \vec{\partial})^s}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2(\tau)}} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$ in accord with the fact that the spinning particle has a pole-dipole structure [44] according to Papapetrou's classification [45] [see Refs. [46] for other pole-dipole models and Ref. [47] for their influence on the energy momentum tensor

of action-at-a-distance models].

For $N=1$ [$\vec{\eta}_i \mapsto \vec{\eta}$, $m_i \mapsto m, \dots$] we have: i) $\bar{S}_\xi^{rs} = \epsilon^{rst} \bar{S}_\xi^t = -i \xi^r \xi^s$, $\bar{S}_s^{rs} \approx \int d^3\sigma [(\sigma^r - \eta^r)(\vec{\pi}_\perp \times \vec{B})^s - (\sigma^s - \eta^s)(\vec{\pi}_\perp \times \vec{B})^r](\tau, \vec{\sigma})$; ii) $\Sigma_{s\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_s^\nu \tilde{S}_\xi^{\rho\sigma} = (\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu ij} \delta^{ir} \delta^{js} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu oi} \frac{\delta^{ir} p_s^s}{p_s^o + \epsilon_s}) p_s^\nu \bar{S}_\xi^{rs}$. The Bargmann-Michel-Telegdi equation [48] $\dot{\Sigma}_\mu \stackrel{\circ}{=} \frac{e}{m} F_{\mu\nu} \Sigma^\nu$ for a spinning particle in an external electromagnetic field [$\Sigma_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} P^\nu S^{\rho\sigma}$ with $\dot{P}^\mu \neq 0$] is replaced by the following equation for the spin part of the Pauli-Lubanski 4-vector of Eq.(88) in the canonical realization (73) of the Poincaré group in the rest-frame instant form for the isolated system of a positive energy spinning particle plus the electromagnetic field [$\dot{p}_s^\mu = 0$]

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Sigma}_{s\mu} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu ij} \delta^{ir} \delta^{js} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu oi} \frac{\delta^{ir} p_s^s}{p_s^o + \epsilon_s} \right) p_s^\nu \epsilon^{rst} \dot{\bar{S}}_\xi^t \stackrel{\circ}{=} \\ &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \frac{Q}{\eta \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{\kappa}^2(\tau)}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu ij} \delta^{ir} \delta^{js} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu oi} \frac{\delta^{ir} p_s^s}{p_s^o + \epsilon_s} \right) p_s^\nu \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{rst} [\vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \times \vec{S}_\xi]^t. \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

Let us remark that the distribution function on the Grassmann variables introduced in Ref. [27] to recover classical results as an expectation value of the pseudoclassical ones , allows to arrive to a classical theory with a classical electric charge but without spin.

Finally, the Lagrangian corresponding to the Dirac Hamiltonian of Eq.(94) is

$$\begin{aligned} L_R(\tau) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{i}{2} [\dot{\theta}_i^*(\tau) \dot{\theta}_i(\tau) - \dot{\theta}_i^*(\tau) \dot{\theta}_i(\tau)] - \frac{i}{2} \xi_{ir}(\tau) \dot{\xi}_i^r(\tau) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - 2Q_i(\tau) \vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot \vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))} \sqrt{1 - (\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) + \vec{\lambda}(\tau))^2} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + Q_i [\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) + \vec{\lambda}(\tau)] \cdot \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{4\pi |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|} \right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\sigma [(\vec{A}_\perp + [\vec{\lambda}(\tau) \cdot \vec{\partial}] \vec{A}_\perp)^2 - \vec{B}^2](\tau, \vec{\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

VI. THE LIENARD-WIECHERT POTENTIALS.

The last of Eqs.(95) [with the gauge condition $\vec{\lambda}(\tau) = \vec{g}(\tau) = 0$] can be solved by using the retarded Green function [9]

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ret}(\tau; \vec{\sigma}) &= (1/2\pi)\theta(\tau)\delta[\tau^2 - \vec{\sigma}^2], \\ \Rightarrow \quad \square G_{ret}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \delta(\tau)\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}), \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

and one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \check{A}_{\perp RET}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{2\pi} P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \left\{ \int d\tau' \theta(\tau - \tau') \delta[(\tau - \tau')^2 - (\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau'))^2] \dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau') + \right. \\ &+ \int d\tau' d^3\sigma' \theta(\tau - \tau') \delta[(\tau - \tau')^2 - (\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}')^2] \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\epsilon^{su\nu} \bar{S}_{i\xi}^u(\tau')}{\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \check{\kappa}_i^2(\tau')}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma'^v} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}' - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau')) \right\} = \\ &= \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \left\{ \frac{\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} + \right. \\ &+ 2 \int d\tau' d^3\sigma' \theta(\tau - \tau') \delta[(\tau - \tau')^2 - (\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}')^2] \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\epsilon^{su\nu} \bar{S}_{i\xi}^u(\tau')}{\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \check{\kappa}_i^2(\tau')}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma'^v} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}' - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau')) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

where $A_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ [$\square A_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = 0$] is a homogeneous solution describing arbitrary incoming radiation.

Here we introduced the following notations. Let $(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ be the coordinates of a point $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ of Minkowski spacetime lying on the Wigner hyperplane $\Sigma_W(\tau)$, on which the locations of the particles are $(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$ [i.e. $x_i^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$]. The rest-frame distance between $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and $x_i^\mu(\tau)$ is $\vec{r}_i(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$; let $\hat{\vec{r}}_i(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = (\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))/|\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)|$ be the associated unit vector, $\hat{\vec{r}}_i^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = 1$.

Let $\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ [the retarded times] denote the retarded solutions of the equations

$$(\tau - \tau_{i+})^2 = (\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_{i+}))^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (100)$$

The point $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ lies on the lightcones emanating from the particle worldlines at their points $x_i^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) = z^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})))$, lying on the Wigner hyperplanes $\Sigma_W(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$ respectively. The point $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ on $\Sigma_W(\tau)$ will define points $z^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})$ on the Wigner hyperplanes $\Sigma_W(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$ by orthogonal projection [since $(z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - x_i^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})))^2 = 0$, we have $R_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \sqrt{(z(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - z(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}))^2} = \sqrt{-(z(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) - x_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})))^2}$ and $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - x_i^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) = R_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})(t_{i+}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + s_{i+}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$ with $t_{i+}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and $s_{i+}^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ being the timelike and spacelike unit vectors associated with $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - z^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})$ and $z^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) - x_i^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$ respectively; $R_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ is the Minkowski retarded distance between $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and $x_i^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$].

Let $\vec{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) = \vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$ denote the rest-frame retarded distance between the points $z^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})$ and the points $x_i^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$ of the worldlines belonging to $\Sigma_W(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$ [with $\hat{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})$ being the unit vector, $\hat{r}_{i+}^2 = 1$]. Let us denote the length of the vectors $\vec{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) &= |\vec{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})| = |\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))| = \\ &= \tau - \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\tau - \tau') &= \delta[(\tau - \tau')^2 - (\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau'))^2] = \frac{\delta(\tau' - \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{2\rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})}, \\ \rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) &= \tau - \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \cdot [\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))] = \\ &= r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})[1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \cdot \hat{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})]. \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

Since the quantities $Q_i \epsilon^{su\nu} \bar{S}_{i\xi}^u / \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \check{\kappa}_i^2}$ are constants of the motion as implied by Eqs.(95) [since $\dot{\bar{S}}_{i\xi}$ and $\dot{\check{\kappa}}_i$ are proportional to Q_i and $Q_i^2 = 0$, $\dot{Q}_i \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$], Eq.(99) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \check{A}_{\perp RET}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \left\{ \frac{\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{\epsilon^{su\nu} \bar{S}_{i\xi}^u(\tau)}{\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \check{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\int d\tau' d^3\sigma' \theta(\tau - \tau') \delta[(\tau - \tau')^2 - (\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}')^2] \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma'^v} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}' - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau')). \quad (103)$$

After an integration by parts, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\tau' d^3\sigma' \theta(\tau - \tau') \delta[(\tau - \tau')^2 - (\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}')^2] \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma'^v} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}' - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau')) &= \\ &= 2\pi \int d\tau' d^3\sigma' G_{RET}[\tau - \tau', |\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}'|] \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma'^v} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}' - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau')) = \\ &= -2\pi \int d\tau' \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^v(\tau')} G_{RET}[\tau - \tau', |\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau')|] = \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^v} \int d\tau' \theta(\tau - \tau') \delta[(\tau - \tau')^2 - |\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau')|^2] = \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^v} \frac{1}{2\rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})}. \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

Therefore the retarded Lienard-Wiechert potential of the spinning particle is

$$\begin{aligned} \check{A}_{\perp RET}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \left\{ \frac{\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\epsilon^{su} \bar{S}_{i\xi}^u(\tau)}{\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \bar{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \frac{\partial_v \rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})}{[\rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})]^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Eq.(105) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \check{A}_{\perp RET}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{[\vec{S}_{i\xi} \times \vec{\partial} \varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})]^s}{\varrho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \bar{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \right) = \\ &= \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} \left[\left(\frac{\dot{\eta}_i^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{[\vec{S}_{i\xi} \times \vec{\partial} \varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})]^r}{\varrho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \bar{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3\sigma' \frac{\pi^{rs}(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}')}{|\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}'|^3} \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}'))}{\varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}'), \vec{\sigma}')} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{[\vec{S}_{i\xi} \times \vec{\partial} \varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}'), \vec{\sigma}')]^s}{\varrho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}'), \vec{\sigma}') \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \bar{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \right) \right] = \\ &= \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \check{A}_{\perp(i+)}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) = \\ &= \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \check{A}_{\perp(i+)}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) = \delta^{rs} - \frac{3\sigma^r \sigma^s}{\vec{\sigma}^2}, \quad (106)$$

where $\check{A}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})$ is the rest-frame form of the Lienard-Wiechert retarded potential produced by particle i [its Minkowski analogue, i.e. the relativistic generalization of the Coulomb potential, is $A_{(i+)}^\mu(z) = \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} \frac{\dot{x}_i^\mu(\tau_{i+})}{\dot{x}_i(\tau_{i+}) \cdot [z - x_i(\tau_{i+})]} = \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} \frac{\dot{x}_i^\mu(\tau_{i+})}{R_{i+}[1 - \check{R}_{i+} \cdot \check{x}_i(\tau_{i+})]}$ in the case of scalar particles]. Since we are in the rest-frame Coulomb gauge with only transverse Wigner-covariant vector potentials, $\check{A}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})$ has a first standard term generated at the retarded time $\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ at $x_i^\mu(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$, which is, however, accompanied by a nonlocal term receiving contributions from all the retarded times $-\infty < \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}') \leq \tau$, which is due to the elimination of the electromagnetic gauge degrees of freedom [this is the origin of the transverse projector]. If we put the $\check{A}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})$'s in the particle equations (95), with $\check{A}_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = 0$, then the equations of motion become integro-differential equations like the ones generated by a Fokker action.

To evaluate the electric $[\check{E}_\perp = -\dot{\check{A}}_\perp]$ and magnetic $[\check{B} = -\vec{\sigma} \times \dot{\check{A}}_\perp]$ fields produced by $\check{A}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, we need the rule of derivation of 'retarded' functions $g(\tau, \vec{\sigma}; \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))$. From Eq.(100) we get $(\tau - \tau_{i+})(d\tau - d\tau_{i+}) = r_{i+}(d\tau - d\tau_{i+}) = [\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_{i+})] \cdot [d\vec{\sigma} - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+})d\tau_{i+}] = \vec{r}_{i+} \cdot [d\vec{\sigma} - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+})d\tau_{i+}]$. Therefore, by introducing the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\vec{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})}{\varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} = \frac{\hat{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})}{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \cdot \hat{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})}, \quad \hat{r}_{i+} = \frac{\vec{v}_{i+}}{|\vec{v}_{i+}|}, \\ |\vec{v}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})| &= \frac{1}{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \cdot \hat{v}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} = \frac{\tau - \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})}, \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial \tau} &= |\vec{v}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})|, \\ \frac{\partial \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial \sigma^s} &= \hat{r}_{i+s}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) |\vec{v}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})| = v_{i+s}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}), \\ \frac{\partial g(\tau, \vec{\sigma}; \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\partial \tau} &= [(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}|_{\tau'} + |\vec{v}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})| \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau'})g(\tau, \vec{\sigma}; \tau')]|_{\tau'=\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}, \\ \frac{\partial g(\tau, \vec{\sigma}; \tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\partial \sigma^s} &= [(\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s}|_{\tau'} + v_{i+s}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau'})g(\tau, \vec{\sigma}; \tau')]|_{\tau'=\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

so that, using the derived equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \vec{r}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial \tau_{i+}} &= -\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}), \\
\frac{\partial r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial \tau_{i+}} &= -\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}) \cdot \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}), \\
\frac{\partial \rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial \tau_{i+}} &= \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau_{i+}) - (\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}) + r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+})) \cdot \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s} |_{\tau_{i+}} r_{i+}^r(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) &= \delta_s^r, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s} |_{\tau_{i+}} r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) &= \hat{r}_{i+}^s(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s} |_{\tau_{i+}} \rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) &= -(\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}) + \hat{r}_{i+}^s(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}))
\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{A}_{\perp RET}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\varrho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{[\vec{S}_{i\xi} \times (\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}))]^s}{\varrho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \check{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \right) = \\
&= \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \check{A}_{\perp(i+)}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \check{A}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \check{A}_{\perp(i+)}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{E}_{\perp RET}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \check{A}_{\perp RET}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \check{E}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \\
&\quad - P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} |\vec{v}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})| \left(\frac{\ddot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} - \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\rho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} (\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) - \\
&\quad - (\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))) \cdot \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})) + \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{(\vec{S}_{i\xi} \times \vec{C}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}))^s}{\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \check{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \right) = \\
&= \check{E}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \check{E}_{\perp(i+)}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \check{E}_{\perp IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \check{E}_{\perp(i+)}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } \vec{C}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{\rho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} (\ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{(\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \cdot \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})) \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} - \\
& - 2 \frac{(\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}))}{\rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} [\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) - \\
& - (\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \cdot \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{B}_{RET}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= -\epsilon^{rsu}(\partial^s \check{A}_{\perp RET}^u(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \check{B}_{IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} \epsilon^{rsu} P_{\perp}^{uv}(\vec{\sigma}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s} \Big|_{\tau_{i+}} + v_{i+,s}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{i+}} \right) \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}_i^v(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} + \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{[\vec{S}_{i\xi} \times (\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + \hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}))]^v}{\varrho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \check{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \right) = \\
& = \check{B}_{IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N [\hat{\vec{r}}_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{E}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})]^r + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} \epsilon^{rsu} P_{\perp}^{uv}(\vec{\sigma}) \left(\frac{[\dot{\eta}_{i+}^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + \hat{r}_{i+}^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})] \dot{\eta}_i^v(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))}{\rho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} + \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{\epsilon^{vnm} \bar{S}_{i\xi}^n(\tau)}{\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \check{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} C_i^{ms}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \right) = \\
& = \check{B}_{IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \check{B}_{(i+)}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) = \\
& = \check{B}_{IN}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \check{B}_{(i+)}^r(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{with } C_i^{ms}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{1}{\rho_{i+}^2(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} \left(\frac{\delta^{ms} - (\hat{r}_{i+}^m \hat{r}_{i+}^s)(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})}{r_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} + \right. \\
& + \left. 2 \frac{(\dot{\eta}_i^m(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + \hat{r}_{i+}^m(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}))(\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) + \hat{r}_{i+}^s(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}))}{\rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma})} \right). \quad (110)
\end{aligned}$$

The particle equations of motion contained in Eqs.(95), the definition of the rest frame, Eq.(91), and the conserved relative energy H_{rel} of Eq.(94) have now the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\tau}(\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - 2Q_i \vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot [\check{B}_{IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \sum_{j \neq i} Q_j \vec{B}_{(j+)}(\tau_{j+}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \frac{\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau)}}}) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \\
&\stackrel{\circ}{=} - \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{Q_i Q_k [\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_k(\tau)]}{4\pi |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_k(\tau)|^3} + \frac{Q_i}{m_i} \eta_i \sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau)} \bar{S}_{i\xi}^u(\tau) \cdot \\
& \left[\frac{\partial \check{B}_{IN}^u(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\partial \vec{\eta}_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} Q_j \frac{\partial \check{B}_{(j+)}^u(\tau_{j+}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\partial \vec{\eta}_i} \right] +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + Q_i [\vec{E}_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) \times \vec{B}_{IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))] + \\
& + \sum_{k \neq i} Q_i Q_k [\vec{E}_{\perp(k+)}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \\
& + \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) \times \vec{B}_{(k+)}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))], \\
& \sum_{i=1}^N [\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - 2Q_i \vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot [\vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \sum_{j \neq i} Q_j \vec{B}_{(j+)}(\tau_{j+}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]} \frac{\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau)}} + \\
& + Q_i \vec{A}_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))] + \sum_{i \neq j} Q_i Q_j \vec{A}_{\perp(j+)}(\tau_{j+}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \\
& + \int d^3\sigma [\vec{E}_{\perp IN} \times \vec{B}_{IN} + \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i (\vec{E}_{\perp IN} \times \vec{B}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
& + \vec{E}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{B}_{IN}) + \\
& + \sum_{i \neq j} Q_i Q_j \vec{E}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{B}_{(j+)}(\tau_{j+}, \vec{\sigma})] (\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0, \\
& E_{rel} = \sum_i \frac{\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - 2Q_i \vec{S}_{i\xi}(\tau) \cdot [\vec{B}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \sum_{j \neq i} Q_j \vec{B}_{(j+)}(\tau_{j+}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]} \frac{\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau)}} + \\
& + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{4\pi |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|} + \int d^3\sigma [\frac{\vec{E}_{\perp IN}^2 + \vec{B}_{IN}^2}{2} + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i (\vec{E}_{\perp IN} \cdot \vec{E}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}_{IN} \cdot \vec{B}_{(i+)}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma})) + \\
& + \sum_{i > j} Q_i Q_j (\vec{E}_{\perp(i+)}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) \cdot \vec{E}_{\perp(j+)}(\tau_{j+}, \vec{\sigma}) + \\
& + \vec{B}_{(i+)}(\tau_{i+}, \vec{\sigma}) \cdot \vec{E}_{\perp(j+)}(\tau_{j+}, \vec{\sigma}))] (\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = const. \tag{111}
\end{aligned}$$

The property $Q_i^2 = 0$ has been used in these equations and it will be used also in what follows. Besides the divergent Coulomb self-interaction it eliminates other divergent terms.

In the nonrelativistic limit $|\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)| \ll 1$ and in wave zone $[\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \rightarrow \tau, \rho_{i+}(\tau_{i+}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \rightarrow r(\tau, \sigma) \approx |\vec{\sigma}| \rightarrow \infty]$ with $\vec{A}_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = 0$, since the ‘spin’ contribution is of order $|\vec{\sigma}|^{-2}$, the asymptotic limit of the retarded fields is like for scalar particles

$$\vec{E}_{\perp RET, AS}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx -P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} \frac{\ddot{\eta}_i^s(\tau)}{|\vec{\sigma}|},$$

$$\check{B}_{RET,AS}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx -P_{\perp}^{rs}(\vec{\sigma}) \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Q_i}{4\pi} \frac{[\vec{\sigma} \times \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)]^s}{|\vec{\sigma}|}, \quad (112)$$

so that the ‘‘Larmor formula’’ for the radiated energy become $[\vec{n} = \hat{\vec{r}} = \vec{\sigma}/|\vec{\sigma}|]$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE}{d\tau} &\approx \int_S d\Sigma \vec{n} \cdot (\check{\vec{E}}_{\perp RET,AS} \times \check{\vec{B}}_{RET,AS})(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{(4\pi)^2} \int d\Omega \vec{n} \cdot (\ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) \times [\vec{n} \times \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_j(\tau)]) = \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{(4\pi)^2} \int d\Omega (\vec{n} \times \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)) \cdot (\vec{n} \times \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_j(\tau)) = \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{(4\pi)^2} \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) \cdot \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_j(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

The usual terms $\frac{Q_i^2}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{2}{3} \ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau)$ are absent due to the pseudoclassical conditions $Q_i^2 = 0$. Therefore, at the pseudoclassical level, there is no radiation coming from single charges, but only interference radiation due to terms $Q_i Q_j$ with $i \neq j$. Since it is not possible to control whether the source is a single elementary charged particle (only macroscopic sources are testable), this result is in accord with macroscopic experimental facts.

For a single particle, $N=1$, the pseudoclassical equations (111) become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} & \left(\eta \sqrt{m^2 - 2Q\vec{S}_{\xi} \cdot \check{\vec{B}}_{IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau))} \frac{\dot{\vec{\eta}}(\tau)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}^2(\tau)}} \right) \doteq \\ & \doteq Q[\check{\vec{E}}_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) + \dot{\vec{\eta}}(\tau) \times \check{\vec{B}}_{IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta})] + \eta \frac{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}^2(\tau)}}{m} Q\vec{S}_{\xi}^u \frac{\partial \check{B}_{IN}^u(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau))}{\partial \vec{\eta}}, \\ \eta & \sqrt{m^2 - 2Q\vec{S}_{\xi} \cdot \check{\vec{B}}_{IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau))} \frac{\dot{\vec{\eta}}(\tau)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}^2(\tau)}} + Q\check{\vec{A}}_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) + \\ & + \int d^3\sigma [(\check{\vec{E}}_{\perp IN} \times \check{\vec{B}}_{IN})(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + Q(\check{\vec{E}}_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \times \check{\vec{B}}_{(+)}(\tau_+(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) + \\ & + \check{\vec{E}}_{\perp (+)}(\tau_+(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \vec{\sigma}) \times \check{\vec{B}}_{IN}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}))] \doteq 0, \\ E_{rel} &= \frac{\eta \sqrt{m^2 - 2Q\vec{S}_{\xi} \cdot \check{\vec{B}}_{IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau))}}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}^2(\tau)}} + \int d^3\sigma \left[\frac{\check{\vec{E}}_{\perp IN}^2 + \check{\vec{B}}_{IN}^2}{2} + \right. \\ & \left. + Q(\check{\vec{E}}_{\perp IN} \cdot \check{\vec{E}}_{\perp (+)}(\tau_+, \vec{\sigma}) + \check{\vec{B}}_{IN} \cdot \check{\vec{B}}_{(+)}(\tau_+, \vec{\sigma})) \right](\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = const., \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\eta \sqrt{m^2 - 2Q \vec{S}_\xi \cdot \check{\vec{B}}_{IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau))}}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}^2(\tau)}} &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \\
&\stackrel{\circ}{=} Q \dot{\vec{\eta}}(\tau) \cdot \check{\vec{E}}_{\perp IN}(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) + \int_{S_{as}} d\Sigma \vec{n} \cdot [\check{\vec{E}}_{\perp IN} \times \check{\vec{B}}_{IN} + \\
&+ Q(\check{\vec{E}}_{\perp IN} \times \check{\vec{B}}_{(+)}(\tau_+, \vec{\sigma}) + \check{\vec{E}}_{\perp(+)}(\tau_+, \vec{\sigma}) \times \check{\vec{B}}_{IN})](\tau, \vec{\sigma}).
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

The first of Eqs.(114) replaces the Abraham-Lorentz-Dirac equation [see for instance Ref. [49]] for an electron in an external electromagnetic field [$Q = e\theta^*\theta$].

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained the pseudoclassical description of the positive (or negative) energy solutions of the Dirac equation on spacelike hypersurfaces and then in the rest-frame instant form on Wigner hyperplanes. The coupling to the electromagnetic field of these spinning particles on spacelike hypersurfaces is such to be consistent with only a “spin-magnetic field” interaction in the intrinsic rest-frame on Wigner hyperplanes like in the nonrelativistic Pauli theory, which is recovered in the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$. These results are consistent with the elimination of the classical effects at the basis of pair production and of all the effects of the same order in the electric charge, which are obstructions to the diagonalization of the Dirac Hamiltonian with the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation.

The reduction to the rest-frame Wigner-covariant Coulomb gauge is done for the system on N charged spinning particles plus the electromagnetic field. Also the Lienard-Wiechert potential of spinning particles was studied by following Ref. [9].

Then one has to find a connection with the existing literature on two-body equations for relativistic bound states starting from two coupled spinning particles with various kinds of potentials [50,51]. Also the coupling of colored spinning particles to the $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills field along the lines of Ref. [16] has to be done.

The quantization of this spinning particle (which will be studied elsewhere) should be done following the scheme of Ref. [18], giving rise to a nonlocal Schroedinger equation with the kinetic square root operator for a 2-spinor, which corresponds to the $SU(2)$ spinor in the positive (or negative) energy solutions of the Dirac equation after a boost to the rest frame.

However, as pointed out in Section II, it is not clear how to recover the classical fibration describing the spin structure. In the pseudoclassical theory of Eq.(1) one has the constraints $\chi = p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$, describing a scalar particle, and $\chi_D = p_\mu \xi^\mu - m\xi_5 \approx 0$, restricting the G_5 spin fiber over $x^\mu(\tau)$ to a Grassmann algebra G_4 , with the consistency relation $\{\chi_D, \chi_D\} = i\chi$. For a scalar particle with only $\chi = p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$, quantization produces the Klein-Gordon equation $(\square + m^2)\phi(x) = 0$. Therefore, for the spinning particle one would

expect a double role of the constraint $\chi \approx 0$: i) $\chi = p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$ going to $(\square + m^2)\phi(x) = 0$; ii) $\chi_D = p_\mu \xi^\mu - m \xi_5 \approx 0$ going to $\gamma_5(i\partial_\mu \gamma^\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0$ with $\{\chi_D, \chi_D\} = i\chi$ replaced by $(\square + m^2)\psi(x) = 0$. This would replace the superfield $X^\mu = x^\mu + \theta \xi^\mu$ of Eq.(6) not with a quantum superfield $\phi(x) + \theta\psi(x)$ (the supersymmetric scalar multiplet with a Grassmann valued Dirac field) but with a first quantization fibration $[\psi(x) \text{ over } \phi(x)]$ (with $\psi(x)$ a Dirac wave function) describing the spin structure. Here, in $[\psi(x) \text{ over } \phi(x)]$ the Klein-Gordon field $\phi(x)$ should be restricted to a special class of configurations peaked on a particle worldline, the trace in Minkowski spacetime of the average position of the electric current produced by the lepton. In the free case this worldline should be a straightline, projection to Minkowski spacetime of the Foldy-Wouthuysen mean position. Therefore, the allowed configurations of $\phi(x)$ should depend on only 8 degrees of freedom like for a scalar particle. This can be obtained by using the new canonical decomposition in center-of-mass and relative variables of a Klein-Gordon field [15,52] and by selecting its monopole configurations [this decomposition is obtained starting from canonical action-angle variables, which do not exist for a Dirac wave function: this again points at the lack of completeness in our description of fermions]. This restriction on the $\phi(x)$ configurations is also implied by the fact that also bound states of fermions should have the same fibered structure over restricted bound states of scalar particles, otherwise one should have contradiction with the experimental fact that the fermion bound state in the fiber is sufficient to explain the spectra of bound states.

Let us remark that the same pattern should exist in the nonrelativistic Pauli theory with $[\psi(x) \text{ over } \phi(x)]$ replaced by $[\psi_P(x) \text{ over } \tilde{\psi}(x)]$, where $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ is a Schroedinger wave function and $\psi_P(x)$ a Pauli spinor. Bound states of these objects are needed in the explanation of superconductivity by means of Cooper pairs.

As we shall see in Ref. [53], devoted to the pseudoclassical basis of QED on spacelike hypersurfaces, the use of Grassmann valued Dirac fields will create a geometrical problem, which again points towards the necessity of a fibration to describe the spin structure both at the classical and at the second quantized (functional Schroedinger equations [54]) level.

Instead bosonic fields like the electromagnetic one already contain the fibration: in the

limit of geometrical optics one has a null ray of light with the spin structure (the Stokes parameters) over it (see the pseudoclassical photon [26]).

Finally, massless spinning particles and fermion fields on spacelike hypersurfaces will be treated in a future paper, because they require the reformulation of the front form of dynamics in the instant form.

APPENDIX A: FOLDY-WOUTHUYSEN, CINI-TOUSCHEK AND CHIRAL REPRESENTATIONS.

In the Foldy-Wouthuysen representation [32], the momentum space Dirac equation in the noncovariant form $(i\partial^o - H)\psi(p) = 0$ with $\hat{H} = \beta m + \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}$ is transformed to $(i\partial^o - \gamma^o \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2})\psi_{(FW)}(p) = 0$ with $\psi_{(FW)}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{(FW)} \\ \eta_{(FW)} \end{pmatrix}(p) = \hat{U}_{(FW)}\psi(p) = \hat{U}_{(FW)} \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}(p)$ [$\chi_{(FW)} = \frac{(\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m)\chi + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \eta}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}$ and $\eta_{(FW)} = \frac{(\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m)\eta - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \chi}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}$] and $\hat{U}_{(FW)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}}(\beta \hat{H} + \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}) = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m}{2\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m} \\ \frac{-\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m} & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$. In this representation the Poincaré generators are $\hat{p}_{(FW)}^\mu = (\beta \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}; \hat{p})$, $\hat{J}_{(FW)} = \hat{x} \times \hat{p} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}$, $\hat{J}_{(FW)}^{oi} = \hat{x}^o \hat{p}^i - \frac{1}{2} \beta (\hat{x}^i \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} \hat{x}^i) + \beta \frac{\frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma} \times \hat{p}}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m}$; see table I of Ref. [32] for the form of the position operator (suffering zitterbewegung) in the new representation and for the definition of the mean position (with free motion without zitterbewegung).

Following the notation of Ref. [55], the positive energy solutions of the Dirac equations are connected with the spinors $u(\vec{p}, s) = \sqrt{p^o + m} \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(s)}(p) \\ \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{p^o + m} \chi^{(s)}(p) \end{pmatrix}$, which under the free Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation are sent in the spinors $u_{(FW)}(\vec{p}, s) = \sqrt{2p^o} \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(s)}(p) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The 2-spinors $\chi^{(s)}(p)$ describe the two levels of spin 1/2. The negative energy spinors are $v(\vec{p}, s) = \sqrt{p^o + m} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{p^o + m} (-i\sigma^2 \chi^{(s)}(p)) \\ (-i\sigma^2 \chi^{(s)}(p)) \end{pmatrix}$ and the antiparticles of positive mass, same spin and opposite electric charge are described by the charge conjugate spinor $C\gamma^o v^*(-\vec{p}, -s)$; $v(-\vec{p}, -s)$ is sent by the free Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation into $\sqrt{2p^o} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (-i\sigma^2 \chi^{(-s)}(p)) \end{pmatrix}$. The spin projector in the spacelike direction s^μ , $s^2 = -1$, is $P(s) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5 s_\mu \gamma^\mu) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + s_o \gamma_5 \gamma^o + \gamma^o \vec{s} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}]$ with $\vec{\sigma} = \gamma_5 \vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$ [the rest-frame Pauli-Lubanski four-vector is

$\overset{\circ}{W}{}^\mu = L^\mu{}_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}, p)W^\nu = -\frac{1}{4}L^\mu{}_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}, p)\epsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma}p_\alpha\sigma_{\beta\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2}L^\mu{}_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}, p)\gamma_5\gamma^\nu p_\alpha\gamma^\alpha = (0; \frac{m}{2}\vec{\Sigma})$. Instead the (constant of the motion) helicity operator $h(\vec{p}) = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}/|\vec{p}|$ has different eigenstates; if $\Lambda_\pm(p) = \frac{\pm p_\mu \gamma^\mu + m}{2m}$ is the energy projection operator and if one chooses $s_p^\mu = (\frac{|\vec{p}|}{m}; \frac{p^\circ}{m} \frac{\vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|})$ (helicity basis) one gets the following relation between spin and helicity states: $P(s_p)\Lambda_\pm(p) = [1 \pm h(\vec{p})]\Lambda_\pm(p)$.

In Ref. [34] there is a study of the pseudoclassical Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation: the generator $\hat{S}_{(FW)} = -i\beta\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}\theta(\vec{p}) = -i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}\theta(\vec{p})$, $tg\ 2|\vec{p}|\theta(\vec{p}) = |\vec{p}|/m$, $\hat{U}_{(FW)} = e^{\hat{S}_{(FW)}}$ of the quantum unitary Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation in the free case [realizing the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian: $\hat{H} = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m \mapsto \hat{H}_{(FW)} = p_o - \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}\gamma_o$] corresponds to the generator $S_{(FW)} = 2i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}\xi_5\theta(\vec{p})$ of a pseudoclassical canonical transformation $e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}}f = f + \{f, S_{(FW)}\}^* + \frac{1}{2!}\{\{f, S_{(FW)}\}^*\}^* + \dots$. Therefore, one finds the new canonical basis

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{(FW)}^o &= e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}}x^o = x^o, \\
x_{(FW)}^i &= e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}}x^i = x^i - \frac{[p^i p_j + \delta_j^i \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m)](-i\xi^i \xi_5)}{(\vec{p}^2 + m^2)(\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m)} - \\
&\quad - \frac{(-i\xi^i \xi^j)p_j}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}(\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + m)}, \\
p_{(FW)}^\mu &= e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}}p^\mu = p^\mu, \\
\xi_{(FW)}^o &= e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}}\xi^o = \xi^o, \\
\xi_{(FW)}^i &= e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}}\xi^i = \xi^i + \frac{p^i}{|\vec{p}|}\xi_5 \sin 2|\vec{p}|\theta(\vec{p}) + \frac{p^i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}}{p^2}(\cos 2|\vec{p}|\theta(p) - 1) = \\
&= \xi^i + \frac{p^i}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}}\xi_5 - (1 - \frac{m}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}})\frac{p^i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}}{p^2}, \\
\xi_{5(FW)} &= e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}}\xi_5 = \cos 2|\vec{p}|\theta(\vec{p})\xi_5 - \sin 2|\vec{p}|\theta(\vec{p})\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}}{|\vec{p}|} = \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi} + m\xi_5}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}}, \\
\{x_{(FW)}^\mu, p_{(FW)}^\nu\}^* &= -\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\xi_{(FW)}^\mu, \xi_{(FW)}^\nu\}^* = i\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\xi_{5FW}, \xi_{5FW}\}^* = -i, \\
e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}}(p_\mu \xi^\mu - m\xi_5) &= p_\mu \xi_{(FW)}^\mu - m\xi_{5(FW)} = p_o \xi^o - \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}\xi_5 \\
&\mapsto \gamma_5 \gamma_o (p_o - \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}\gamma_o),
\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{M(FW)}^\mu = e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}} x_M^\mu = x^\mu, \quad S_{M(FW)}^{ij} = e^{\tilde{S}_{(FW)}} S_M^{ij} = S^{ij}. \quad (A1)$$

In quantization the Grassmann variables $\xi_{(FW)}^\mu$, $\xi_{5(FW)}$, should be replaced by the standard expression with the Dirac matrices in the Foldy-Wouthuysen representation $\gamma_{(FW)} = \hat{U}_{(FW)} \gamma \hat{U}_{(FW)}^{-1}$ [$\gamma_{(FW)}^o = \gamma^o \frac{m - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}{p^o}$, $\vec{\alpha}_{(FW)} = \gamma_{(FW)}^o \vec{\gamma}_{(FW)} = \gamma^o (\vec{\gamma} - \frac{\vec{p} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}{p^o(p^o + m)} + \frac{\vec{p}}{p^o})$, $\gamma_{5(FW)} = \gamma_5 \frac{m - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}{p^o}$, with $p^o = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$].

Instead the Cini-Touschek transformation [56] antidiagonalizes $\hat{H}$, sending it into $\hat{H}_{(CT)} = p^o \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\alpha}}{|\vec{p}|} = p^o \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \\ \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. It is generated by $\hat{S}_{(CT)} = -\frac{i}{2m} \beta \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} w(\vec{p})$, $\cot g \frac{|\vec{p}|}{m} w(\vec{p}) = -\frac{|\vec{p}|}{m}$, $\hat{U}_{(CT)} = e^{\hat{S}_{(CT)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2p^o}} (\sqrt{p^o + |\vec{p}|} + \sqrt{p^o - |\vec{p}|} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}{|\vec{p}|}) = \sqrt{\frac{p^o + |\vec{p}|}{2p^o}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{p^o - |\vec{p}|}{m} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \\ -\frac{p^o - |\vec{p}|}{m} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\psi_{(CT)} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{(CT)} \\ \eta_{(CT)} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{U}_{(CT)} \psi = \sqrt{\frac{p^o + |\vec{p}|}{2p^o}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi + \frac{p^o - |\vec{p}|}{m} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \eta \\ \eta - \frac{p^o - |\vec{p}|}{m} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \chi \end{pmatrix}$, and its pseudoclassical generator is $S_{(CT)} = \frac{i}{m} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi} \xi_5 w(\vec{p})$. It could be used to find a new pseudoclassical basis $x_{(CT)}^\mu$, p^μ , $\xi_{(CT)}^\mu$, $\xi_{5(CT)}$.

The chiral-Weyl representation of γ matrices (which is systematically used in Ref. [57,58] instead of the Dirac representation even in the massive case) is done with the unitary transformation $\hat{U}_{(chi)} = e^{-\frac{\pi}{4} \gamma_5 \gamma_o} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - \gamma_5 \gamma_o) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so that $\psi_{(chi)} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \eta_R \end{pmatrix} = \hat{U}_{(chi)} \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi - \eta \\ \chi + \eta \end{pmatrix}$, where $\chi_L = (\phi_a) \in (\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, $\eta_R = (\phi^{\dot{a}}) \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ are the left and right components belonging to the corresponding representations of $SL(2, C)$ [59,57]. The components of ψ , the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ representation, are $\chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\eta_R + \chi_L)$, $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\eta_R - \chi_L)$. The Hamiltonian becomes $\hat{H}_{(chi)} = \hat{U}_{(chi)} \hat{H} \hat{U}_{(chi)}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} -\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} & m \\ m & \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_5 (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + m)$. $\hat{H}_{(chi)}$ may be antidiagonalized to $-\frac{m}{|\vec{p}|} \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{m}{|\vec{p}|} \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} \gamma_{(chi)}^o$ with $\hat{U} = \sqrt{\frac{p^o + m}{2p^o}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{\frac{p^o - m}{p^o + m}} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{p^o - m}{p^o + m}} \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and diagonalized to $\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \end{pmatrix}$

with $\hat{U} = \sqrt{\frac{|\vec{p}| + \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}}{2\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} - |\vec{p}|}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + |\vec{p}|}} \\ \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{|\vec{p}|} \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} - |\vec{p}|}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + |\vec{p}|}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\gamma_5 \gamma_o = -i\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 = i\gamma_5(\gamma_5 \gamma_1)(\gamma_5 \gamma_2)(\gamma_5 \gamma_3)$, the pseudoclassical generator is $S_{(chi)} = \pi \xi_5 \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3$ and the pseudoclassical chiral basis is $x_{(chi)}^\mu = x^\mu, p^\mu, \xi_{(chi)}^o = \xi^o, \xi_{(chi)}^i = \xi^i + i\frac{\pi}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\xi_5 \xi^i \xi^j, \xi_{5(chi)} = \xi_5 - i\pi \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.A.M.Dirac, *Can.J.Phys.* **33**, 650 (1955).
- [2] L.Lusanna, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A10**, 3531 and 3675 (1995).
- [3] L.Lusanna and P.Valtancoli, “Dirac’s Observables for the Higgs model: I) the Abelian Case”, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A12**, 4769 (1997) (hep-th/9606078).
- [4] L.Lusanna and P.Valtancoli, “Dirac’s Observables for the Higgs model: II) the non-Abelian SU(2) Case”, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A12**, 4797 (1997) (hep-th/9606079).
- [5] L.Lusanna and P.Valtancoli, “Dirac’s Observables for the SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) Standard Model”, to appear in *Int.J.Mod.Phys. A* (hep-th/9707072).
- [6] S.Shanmugadhasan, *J.Math.Phys.* **14**, 677 (1973). L.Lusanna, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A8**, 4193 (1993). M.Chaichian, D.Louis Martinez and L.Lusanna, *Ann.Phys.(N.Y.)* **232**, 40 (1994). L.Lusanna, *Phys.Rep.* **185**, 1 (1990); *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **14**, n.3, 1 (1991); *J.Math.Phys.* **31**, 2126 (1990); *J.Math.Phys.* **31**, 428 (1990).
- [7] L.Lusanna, “Solving Gauss’ Laws and Searching Dirac Observables for the Four Interactions”, talk at the “Second Conf. on Constrained Dynamics and Quantum Gravity”, S.Margherita Ligure 1996, eds. V.De Alfaro, J.E.Nelson, G.Bandelloni, A.Biasi, M.Cavaglià and A.T.Filippov, *Nucl.Phys. (Proc.Suppl.)* **B57**, 13 (1997) (hep-th/9702114). “Unified Description and Canonical Reduction to Dirac’s Observables of the Four Interactions”, talk at the Int.Workshop “New non Perturbative Methods and Quantization on the Light Cone”, Les Houches School 1997, eds. P.Grangé, H.C.Pauli, A.Neveu, S.Pinsky and E.Werner (Springer, Berlin, 1998) (hep-th/9705154). “The Pseudoclassical Relativistic Quark Model in the Rest-Frame Wigner-Covariant Gauge”, talk given at the Euroconference QCD 97, Montpellier 1997, ed.S.Narison, *Nucl.Phys. (Proc.Suppl.)* **B64**, 306 (1998).
- [8] L.Lusanna, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A12**, 645 (1997).

- [9] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, “The Lienard-Wiechert Potential of Charged Scalar Particles and their Relation to Scalar Electrodynamics in the Rest-Frame Instant Form”, to appear in Int.J.Mod.Phys. A (hep-th/9705155).
- [10] P.A.M.Dirac, ”Lectures on Quantum Mechanics”, Belfer Graduate School of Science, Monographs Series (Yeshiva University, New York, N.Y., 1964).
- [11] K.Kuchar, J.Math.Phys. **17**, 777, 792, 801 (1976); **18**, 1589 (1977).
- [12] E.P.Wigner, Ann.Math. **149**, 40 (1939); “Group Theory” (Academic Press, New York, 1959).
U.H.Niederer and L.O’Raifeartaigh, Fortschr.Phys. **22**, 111 and 131 (1974).
- [13] G.Longhi and L.Lusanna, Phys.Rev. **D34**, 3707 (1986).
- [14] P.A.M.Dirac, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **21** (1949) 392.
- [15] G.Longhi and M.Materassi, “A Canonical Realization of the BMS Algebra”, to appear in J.Math.Phys. (hep-th/9803128). G.Longhi and M.Materassi, “Collective and Relative Variables for a Klein-Gordon Field”, in preparation.
- [16] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, “The Classical Relativistic Quark Model in the Rest-Frame Wigner-Covariant Coulomb Gauge”, to appear in Int.J.Mod.Phys. A (hep-th/9705156).
- [17] H.Feshbach and F.Villars, Rev.Mod.Phys. **30**, 24 (1958).
- [18] C.Lämmerzahl, J.Math.Phys. **34**, 3918 (1993).
- [19] I.Herbst, Commun.Math.Phys. **53**, 285 (1977); **55**, 316 (1997).
B. and L. Durand, Phys.Rev. **D28**, 396 (1983); erratum Phys.Rev. **D50**, 6642 (1994).
J.J.Basdevant and S.Boukraa, Z.Phys. **C28**, 413 (1985).
A.Martin and S.M.Roy, Phys.Lett. **B233**, 407 (1989).
A.LeYaouanc, L.Oliver and J.C.Raynal, Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **239**, 243 (1995).
W.Lucha and F.F.Schöberl, Phys.Rev. **D50**, 5443 (1994).

- [20] S.Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology (J.Wiley, New York, 1972).
- [21] M.Nakahara, Geometry, Topology and Physics (IOP, Bristol, 1990).
- [22] R.DePietri, L.Lusanna and S.Russo, “Dirac’s Observables for Tetrad Gravity”, in preparation.
- [23] B.S.DeWitt and C.M.DeWitt, Phys.Rev. **87**, 116 (1952).
T.W.B.Kibble, J.Math.Phys. **4**, 1433 (1963).
P.A.M.Dirac, in “Recent Development in General relativity” (Pergamon, 1962).
M.Henneaux, Gen.Rel.Grav. **9**, 1031 (1978).
J.Gehenaiau and M.Henneaux, Gen.Rel.Grav. **8**, 611 (1977).
J.E.Nelson and C.Teitelboim, Phys.Lett. **69B**, 81 (1977); Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **116**, 86 (1978).
- [24] J.M.Lévy-Leblond, Commun.Math.Phys. **6**, 286 (1967).
- [25] J.Gomis and M.Novell, Phys.Rev. **D33**, 2212 and 2220 (1986).
- [26] A.Barducci and L.Lusanna, Nuovo Cimento **77A**, 39 (1983); J.Phys. **A16**, 1993 (1983).
- [27] F.A.Berezin and M.S.Marinov, JEPT Lett. **21**, 321 (1975); Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **104**, 336 (1977).
- [28] R.Casalbuoni, Nuovo Cimento **A33**, 115, 389 (1976). A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, Nuovo Cimento **A35**, 377 (1976); Nuovo Cimento Lett. **19**, 581 (1977); Nucl.Phys. **B124**, 93 (1977).
- [29] L.Brink, S.Deser, B.Zumino, P.DiVecchia and P.S.Howe, Phys.Lett. **B64**, 435 (1976).
L.Brink, P.DiVecchia and P.S.Howe, Nucl.Phys. **B118**, 76 (1977).
- [30] a) M.S.Plyuschay, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A4**, 837 (1989); **A8**, 937 (1993).
J.L.Cortés, M.S.Plyuschay and L.Velásquez, Phys.Lett. **B306**, 34 (1993). b)
R.H.Rietdijk and J.W.van Holten, Class.Quantum Grav. **10**, 575 (1993).

- c) K.Yee and M.Bander, Phys.Rev. **D48**, 2797 (1993).
- d) I.B.Khriplovich, Sov.Phys. JEPT **69**, 217 (1989).
- e) F.Ravndal, Phys.Rev. **D21**, 2823 (1980).
- [31] R.Casalbuoni, Nuovo Cimento **33A**, 115 and 389 (1976).
M.Henneaux and C.Teitelboim, “Quantization of Gauge Systems” (Princeton Univ.Press, Princeton, 1992).
C.A.P.Galvao and C.Teitelboim, J.Math.Phys. **21**, 1863 (1980).
- [32] L.L.Foldy and S.A.Wouthuysen, Phys.Rev. **78**, 29 (1950).
- [33] J.R.Ellis and G.Siopsis, J.Phys. **A15**, L259 (1982).
- [34] A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, Phys.Lett. **64B**, 319 (1976).
- [35] W.T.Grandy jr., “Relativistic Quantum Mechanics of Leptons and Fields” (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991).
- [36] B.Thaller, “The Dirac Equation” (Springer, Berlin, 1992).
- [37] J.P.Costella and H.J.McKellar, Am.J.Phys. **63**, 1119 (1995).
- [38] A.Chakrabarti, J.Math.Phys. **4**, 1215 (1963).
- [39] Iu.M.Shirokov, Soviet Phys. JEPT **6**, 619 (1958); **12**, 445 (1961).
- [40] E.Ramos and J.Roca, Nucl.Phys. **B436**, 529 (1995).
- [41] F.Delduc, E.Ivanov and E.Sokatchev, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A12**, 937 (1997).
- [42] A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni, D.Dominici and L.Lusanna, Phys.Lett. **100B**, 126 (1981).
- [43] M.Le Bellac and J.M.Lévy-Leblond, Nuovo Cimento **14B**, 217 (1973).
- [44] A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, Lett.Nuovo Cimento **19**, 581 (1977).
- [45] A.Papapetrou, Proc.Roy.Soc.London **A209**, 248 (1951).

- [46] A.Barducci and L.Lusanna, Nuovo Cimento **47B**, 54 (1978); **53B**, 59 (1979).
- [47] A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, Nuovo Cimento **54A**, 340 (1979).
- [48] V.Bargmann, L.Michel and V.L.Telegdi, Phys.Rev.Letters **2**, 435 (1959).
A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, Nucl.Phys. **B124**, 93 (1977).
- [49] C.Itzykson and J.B.Zuber, “Quantum Field Theory” (McGraw Hill, New York, 1985).
- [50] H.W.Crater and P.Van Alstine, J.Math.Phys. **23**, 1997 (1982) ; Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **148**, 57 (1983); Phys.Rev. **D30**, 2585 (1984), **D33**, 1037 (1986), **D36**, 3007 (1987) and **D46**, 766 (1992). H.W.Crater and D.Yang, J.Math.Phys. **32**, 2374 (1991). H.W.Crater and P.Van Alstine, Phys.Rev.Lett. **53**, 1577 (1984); J.Math.Phys. **31**, 1998 (1990); Phys.Rev. **D30**, 2585 (1984); **D34**, 1932 (1986); **D36**, 3007 (1987); **D37**, 1982 (1988). H.W.Crater, R.L.Becker, C.Y.Wong and P.Van Alstine, Phys.Rev **D46**, 5117 (1992). H.W.Crater, C.W.Wong and C.Y.Wong, Found. Phys. **24**, 297 (1994). H.Crater and P.Van Alstine, in “Constraint’s Theory and Relativistic Dynamics”, Firenze 1986, eds. G.Longhi and L.Lusanna (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987) and in “Constraint Theory and Quantization Methods”, Montepulciano 1993, eds. F.Colomo, L.Lusanna and G.Marmo (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- [51] H.Sazdjian, Phys.Rev.Lett. **156B**, 381 (1985); Phys.Rev. **D33**, 3401 (1986); J.Math.Phys. **28**, 2618 (1987); Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **191**, 52 (1989); “Connection of Constraint Dynamics with the Bethe-Salpeter Equation”, talk at the Int.Symposium “Extended Objects and Bound States”, Karuizawa 1992, eds. O.Hara, S.Ishida and S.Naka (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992). H.Sazdjian, Phys.Rev. **D33**, 3425 and 3435 (1986); J.Math.Phys. **29**, 1620 (1988). M.Bawin, J.Cugnon and H.Sazdjian, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A9**, 5711 (1994); **A11**, 5303 (1996). J.Mourad and H.Sazdjian, J.Math.Phys. **35**, 6379 (1994); J.Phys. **G21**, 267 (1995). H.Jallouli and H.Sazdjian, Phys.Lett. **B366**, 409 (1996).

- [52] L.Lusanna and M.Materassi, “The Canonical Decomposition in Center-of-Mass and Relative Variables of a Klein-Gordon Field in the Rest-Frame Wigner-Covariant Instant Forms”, in preparation.
- [53] F.Bigazzi and L.Lusanna, “Dirac Fields on Spacelike Hypersurfaces, Their Rest-Frame Description and Dirac Observables”, in preparation.
- [54] B.Hatfield, “Quantum Field Theory of Point Particles and Strings”, (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1992).
- [55] F.Gross, “Relativistic Quantum Mechanics and Field Theory” (Wiley, New York, 1993).
- [56] M.Cini and B.Touschek, *Nuovo Cimento* **7**, 422 (1958).
- [57] F.Scheck, “Electroweak and Strong Interactions” (Springer, Berlin, 1993).
- [58] M.E.Peskin and D.V.Schroeder, “An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory” (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1995).
- [59] P.Ramond, “Field Theory: a Modern Primer (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1990).